

TECHNICAL REPORT

Study description:	LITHUANIA
Fieldwork dates:	May 23 - June 8, 1997
Principal investigators:	Hans-Dieter Klingemann, Social Science Research Center Berlin, Germany; Rasa Alisauskiene, Baltic Surveys Ltd., Vilnius, Lithuania
Sample type:	Population: Total non-institutionalized population of Lithuania (citizens and non-citizens), 18 years and older. Fife-stage area probability sample: (1) The country is divided into 5 strata (ethnic regions). For each stratum the desired number of respondents is defined proportionally to population size. (2) Within each stratum the primary sampling units (communities) are selected at random proportional to size. The total number of primary sampling units was 60. (3) Within each primary sampling unit secondary sampling units (administrative districts of the communities) are randomly selected. The total number of secondary sampling units was 102. (4) Within each secondary sampling unit houses (third sampling unit) are randomly selected (random route) as starting points for the selection of households (fourth sampling unit). (5) Within each household the respondent is randomly selected using the "Kish-selection-grid": all adult family members are listed in a certain order, first males from the oldest to the youngest, then females from the oldest to the youngest; the respondent is selected by a selection key which is randomly composed for each possible type of household composition (fifth sampling unit).
Fieldwork institute:	Baltic Surveys Ltd., Vilnius
Fieldwork method:	Interviews were conducted by 80 interviewers which were trained especially for the study. 10 percent of the interviews were checked to ensure quality of fieldwork.
Sample size:	1,009
Response rate:	$(1009/2519) \times 100 = 40.1$ percent
Languages:	Lithuanian and Russian

Comparison with National Population Characteristics: Lithuania

	Census 1989 %	Sample %	Difference
Gender			
Male	46	50	+4
Female	54	50	-4
Age Groups			
18-19	4	5	+1
20-29	22	19	-3
30-39	20	21	+1
40-49	16	17	+1
50-59	16	14	-2
60+	22	24	+2
Urban/Rural			
Urban	68	68	0
Rural	32	32	0
Nationality^a			
Lithuanian	79	90	+11
Russian + Other	21	10	-11

a The ethnic group of the respondents is not available in the data. The language chosen for the interview is not completely comparable with the language indicated in the census of 1989. Among those who indicated another language than Lithuanian or Russian in the census some may have chosen Lithuanian and some Russian as language of interview.