

Aruba, Afghanistan, Angola, Anguilla,  
Albania, Andorra, Netherlands Antilles,  
United Arab Emirates, - Governance  
Matters IV: Governance Indicators  
1996-2004

**Aart C. Kraay, Daniel Kaufmann and Massimo Mastruzzi**

Report generated on: August 26, 2021

Visit our data catalog at: <https://catalog.ihsn.org/>

# Overview

## Identification

ID NUMBER  
WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

## Version

VERSION DESCRIPTION

PRODUCTION DATE

NOTES

## Overview

### ABSTRACT

Dataset used for Aart C. Kraay et al. "Governance Matters IV: Governance Indicators for 1996-2004".

The paper presents the latest update of the aggregate governance indicators, together with new analysis of several issues related to the use of these measures.

They cover 209 countries and territories for 1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, and 2004. They are based on several hundred individual variables measuring perceptions of governance, drawn from 37 separate data sources constructed by 31 different organizations.

The authors present estimates of the six dimensions of governance for each period, as well as margins of error capturing the range of likely values for each country. These margins of error are not unique to perceptions-based measures of governance, but are an important feature of all efforts to measure governance, including objective indicators. In fact, the authors provide examples of how individual objective measures provide an incomplete picture of even the quite particular dimensions of governance that they are intended to measure.

The authors also analyze in some detail changes over time in their estimates of governance; provide a framework for assessing the statistical significance of changes in governance; and suggest a simple rule of thumb for identifying statistically significant changes in country governance over time. The ability to identify significant changes in governance over time is much higher for our aggregate indicators than for any individual indicator. While they find that the quality of governance in a number of countries has changed significantly (in both directions), they also provide evidence suggesting that there are no trends, for better or worse, in global averages of governance. Finally, the authors interpret the strong observed correlation between income and governance, and argue against recent efforts to apply a discount to governance performance in low income countries.

### KIND OF DATA

Aggregate data [agg]

### UNITS OF ANALYSIS

## Scope

### NOTES

The dataset includes governance indicators which measure the following six dimensions of governance:

1. Voice and Accountability;
2. Political Instability and Violence;
3. Government Effectiveness;

4. Regulatory Quality;

5. Rule of Law, and,

6. Control of Corruption.

## Producers and Sponsors

PRIMARY INVESTIGATOR(S)

Name	Affiliation
Aart C. Kraay, Daniel Kaufmann and Massimo Mastruzzi	World Bank

OTHER PRODUCER(S)

Name	Affiliation	Role

DATE OF METADATA PRODUCTION

2011-11-15

DDI DOCUMENT VERSION

Version 01 (November 2011)

DDI DOCUMENT ID

DDI\_WB\_WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

## Sampling

### Sampling Procedure

---

### Deviations from Sample Design

---

### Response Rate

---

### Weighting

---

# Questionnaires

## Overview

---

## Data Collection

### Data Collection Dates

---

Start	End	Cycle
1996	2004	N/A

### Data Collection Mode

---

Other [oth]

### Data Collection Notes

---

### Questionnaires

---

### Supervision

---

## Data Processing

### Data Editing

---

### Other Processing

---

## Data Appraisal

### **Estimates of Sampling Error**

### **Other forms of Data Appraisal**

## File Description

## Variable List

This file contains aggregate indicators of six dimensions of governance. The indicators are constructed using an unobserved components methodology described in detail in the paper. The six governance indicators are measured in units ranging from about -2.5 to 2.5, with higher values corresponding to better governance outcomes. The column labeled "Est." provides the point estimate. The column labeled "S. E." contains the corresponding standard error. The column labeled "N" contains the number of individual sources of governance data in which each country appears. Details on the concepts measured by each indicator, its components, and the interpretation of the point estimates and standard errors can be found in the accompanying paper. The governance indicators presented here reflect the statistical compilation of responses on the quality of governance given by a large number of enterprise, citizen and expert survey respondents in industrial and developing countries, as reported by a number of survey institutes, think tanks, non-governmental organizations, and international organizations. The aggregate indicators in no way reflect the official position of the World Bank, its Executive Directors, or the countries they represent. As discussed in detail in the accompanying papers, countries' relative positions on these indicators are subject to margins of error that are clearly indicated. Consequently, precise country rankings should not be inferred from this data.

Cases 209

Variable(s) 92

Structure Type:  
Keys: ()

Version

Producer

Missing Data

## Variables

ID	NAME	LABEL	TYPE	FORMAT	QUESTION
V1	Country	Country	discrete		
V2	Code	Code	discrete		
V3	VA_Est_2004	Point estimate Voice and Accountability 2004	contin		
V4	VA_SE_2004	Standard Error Voice and Accountability 2004	contin		
V5	VA_N_2004	Number of individual sources Voice and Accountability 2004	discrete		
V6	VA_Est_2002	Point estimate Voice and Accountability 2002	contin		
V7	VA_SE_2002	Standard Error Voice and Accountability 2002	contin		
V8	VA_N_2002	Number of individual sources Voice and Accountability 2002	discrete		
V9	VA_Est_2000	Point estimate Voice and Accountability 2000	contin		
V10	VA_SE_2000	Standard Error Voice and Accountability 2000	contin		
V11	VA_N_2000	Number of individual sources Voice and Accountability 2000	discrete		
V12	VA_Est_1998	Point estimate Voice and Accountability 1998	contin		
V13	VA_SE_1998	Standard Error Voice and Accountability 1998	contin		
V14	VA_N_1998	Number of individual sources Voice and Accountability 1998	discrete		
V15	VA_Est_1996	Point estimate Voice and Accountability 1996	contin		
V16	VA_SE_1996	Standard Error Voice and Accountability 1996	contin		
V17	VA_N_1996	Number of individual sources Voice and Accountability 1996	discrete		
V18	PS_Est_2004	Point estimate Political Stability 2004	contin		
V19	PS_SE_2004	Standard Error Political Stability 2004	contin		
V20	PS_N_2004	Number of individual sources Political Stability 2004	discrete		
V21	PS_Est_2002	Point estimate Political Stability 2002	contin		

V22	PS_SE_2002	Standard Error Political Stability 2002	contin
V23	PS_N_2002	Number of individual sources Political Stability 2002	discrete
V24	PS_Est_2000	Point estimate Political Stability 2000	contin
V25	PS_SE_2000	Standard Error Political Stability 2000	contin
V26	PS_N_2000	Number of individual sources Political Stability 2000	discrete
V27	PS_Est_1998	Point estimate Political Stability 1998	contin
V28	PS_SE_1998	Standard Error Political Stability 1998	contin
V29	PS_N_1998	Number of individual sources Political Stability 1998	discrete
V30	PS_Est_1996	Point estimate Political Stability 1996	contin
V31	PS_SE_1996	Standard Error Political Stability 1996	contin
V32	PS_N_1996	Number of individual sources Political Stability 1996	discrete
V33	GE_Est_2004	Point estimate Government Effectiveness 2004	contin
V34	GE_SE_2004	Standard Error Government Effectiveness 2004	contin
V35	GE_N_2004	Number of individual sources Government Effectiveness 2004	discrete
V36	GE_Est_2002	Point estimate Government Effectiveness 2002	contin
V37	GE_SE_2002	Standard Error Government Effectiveness 2002	contin
V38	GE_N_2002	Number of individual sources Government Effectiveness 2002	discrete
V39	GE_Est_2000	Point estimate Government Effectiveness 2000	contin
V40	GE_SE_2000	Standard Error Government Effectiveness 2000	contin
V41	GE_N_2000	Number of individual sources Government Effectiveness 2000	discrete
V42	GE_Est_1998	Point estimate Government Effectiveness 1998	contin
V43	GE_SE_1998	Standard Error Government Effectiveness 1998	contin
V44	GE_N_1998	Number of individual sources Government Effectiveness 1998	discrete
V45	GE_Est_1996	Point estimate Government Effectiveness 1996	contin
V46	GE_SE_1996	Standard Error Government Effectiveness 1996	contin
V47	GE_N_1996	Number of individual sources Government Effectiveness 1996	discrete
V48	RQ_Est_2004	Point estimate Regulatory Quality 2004	contin
V49	RQ_SE_2004	Standard Error Regulatory Quality 2004	contin
V50	RQ_N_2004	Number of individual sources Regulatory Quality 2004	discrete
V51	RQ_Est_2002	Point estimate Regulatory Quality 2002	contin
V52	RQ_SE_2002	Standard Error Regulatory Quality 2002	contin
V53	RQ_N_2002	Number of individual sources Regulatory Quality 2002	discrete
V54	RQ_Est_2000	Point estimate Regulatory Quality 2000	contin
V55	RQ_SE_2000	Standard Error Regulatory Quality 2000	contin
V56	RQ_N_2000	Number of individual sources Regulatory Quality 2000	discrete
V57	RQ_Est_1998	Point estimate Regulatory Quality 1998	contin
V58	RQ_SE_1998	Standard Error Regulatory Quality 1998	contin
V59	RQ_N_1998	Number of individual sources Regulatory Quality 1998	discrete
V60	RQ_Est_1996	Point estimate Regulatory Quality 1996	contin
V61	RQ_SE_1996	Standard Error Regulatory Quality 1996	contin
V62	RQ_N_1996	Number of individual sources Regulatory Quality 1996	discrete

V63	RL_Est_2004	Point estimate Rule of Law 2004	contin
V64	RL_SE_2004	Standard Error Rule of Law 2004	contin
V65	RL_N_2004	Number of individual sources Rule of Law 2004	discrete
V66	RL_Est_2002	Point estimate Rule of Law 2002	contin
V67	RL_SE_2002	Standard Error Rule of Law 2002	contin
V68	RL_N_2002	Number of individual sources Rule of Law 2002	discrete
V69	RL_Est_2000	Point estimate Rule of Law 2000	contin
V70	RL_SE_2000	Standard Error Rule of Law 2000	contin
V71	RL_N_2000	Number of individual sources Rule of Law 2000	discrete
V72	RL_Est_1998	Point estimate Rule of Law 1998	contin
V73	RL_SE_1998	Standard Error Rule of Law 1998	contin
V74	RL_N_1998	Number of individual sources Rule of Law 1998	discrete
V75	RL_Est_1996	Point estimate Rule of Law 1996	contin
V76	RL_SE_1996	Standard Error Rule of Law 1996	contin
V77	RL_N_1996	Number of individual sources Rule of Law 1996	discrete
V78	CC_Est_2004	Point estimate Control of Corruption 2004	contin
V79	CC_SE_2004	Standard Error Control of Corruption 2004	contin
V80	CC_N_2004	Number of individual sources Control of Corruption 2004	discrete
V81	CC_Est_2002	Point estimate Control of Corruption 2002	contin
V82	CC_SE_2002	Standard Error Control of Corruption 2002	contin
V83	CC_N_2002	Number of individual sources Control of Corruption 2002	discrete
V84	CC_Est_2000	Point estimate Control of Corruption 2000	contin
V85	CC_SE_2000	Standard Error Control of Corruption 2000	contin
V86	CC_N_2000	Number of individual sources Control of Corruption 2000	discrete
V87	CC_Est_1998	Point estimate Control of Corruption 1998	contin
V88	CC_SE_1998	Standard Error Control of Corruption 1998	contin
V89	CC_N_1998	Number of individual sources Control of Corruption 1998	discrete
V90	CC_Est_1996	Point estimate Control of Corruption 1996	contin
V91	CC_SE_1996	Standard Error Control of Corruption 1996	contin
V92	CC_N_1996	Number of individual sources Control of Corruption 1996	discrete



## Country(Country)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 30

Valid cases: 209  
Invalid: NaN

### Source of information

Country

## Code(Code)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 3

Valid cases: 209  
Invalid: NaN

### Source of information

Code

## Point estimate Voice and Accountability 2004(VA\_Est\_2004)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 207  
Invalid: 2  
Minimum: -2.2  
Maximum: 1.6  
Mean: 0  
Standard deviation: 1

### Source of information

Point estimate Voice and Accountability 2004

## Standard Error Voice and Accountability 2004(VA\_SE\_2004)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 207  
Invalid: 2  
Minimum: 0.1  
Maximum: 0.5  
Mean: 0.2  
Standard deviation: 0.1

### Source of information

Standard Error Voice and Accountability 2004

## Number of individual sources Voice and Accountability 2004(VA\_N\_2004)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 207  
Invalid: 2

### Source of information

Number of individual sources Voice and Accountability 2004

## Point estimate Voice and Accountability 2002(VA\_Est\_2002)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 199  
Invalid: 10  
Minimum: -2.3  
Maximum: 1.7  
Mean: 0  
Standard deviation: 1

### Source of information

Point estimate Voice and Accountability 2002

## Standard Error Voice and Accountability 2002(VA\_SE\_2002)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 199  
Invalid: 10  
Minimum: 0.1  
Maximum: 0.5  
Mean: 0.2  
Standard deviation: 0.1

### Source of information

Standard Error Voice and Accountability 2002

## Number of individual sources Voice and Accountability

2002(VA\_N\_2002)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 199  
Invalid: 10

### Source of information

Number of individual sources Voice and Accountability 2002

## Point estimate Voice and Accountability 2000(VA\_Est\_2000)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 192  
Invalid: 17  
Minimum: -2.1  
Maximum: 1.6  
Mean: -0  
Standard deviation: 1

### Source of information

Point estimate Voice and Accountability 2000

## Standard Error Voice and Accountability 2000(VA\_SE\_2000)

### File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous	Valid cases: 192
Width: 10	Invalid: 17
	Minimum: 0.2
	Maximum: 0.4
	Mean: 0.2
	Standard deviation: 0.1

#### Source of information

Standard Error Voice and Accountability 2000

## Number of individual sources Voice and Accountability 2000(VA\_N\_2000)

### File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Discrete	Valid cases: 192
Width: 10	Invalid: 17

#### Source of information

Number of individual sources Voice and Accountability 2000

## Point estimate Voice and Accountability 1998(VA\_Est\_1998)

### File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous	Valid cases: 192
Width: 10	Invalid: 17
	Minimum: -2
	Maximum: 1.6
	Mean: 0
	Standard deviation: 1

#### Source of information

Point estimate Voice and Accountability 1998

## Standard Error Voice and Accountability 1998(VA\_SE\_1998)

### File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous	Valid cases: 192
Width: 10	Invalid: 17
	Minimum: 0.2
	Maximum: 0.4
	Mean: 0.3
	Standard deviation: 0.1

#### Source of information

Standard Error Voice and Accountability 1998

Number of individual sources Voice and Accountability  
1998(VA\_N\_1998)  
File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

## Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 192  
Invalid: 17

## **Source of information**

### Number of individual sources Voice and Accountability 1998

Point estimate Voice and Accountability 1996(VA Est 1996)

File: WLD 2004 GM v01 M

## Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 192  
Invalid: 17  
Minimum: -1.9  
Maximum: 1.8  
Mean: 0  
Standard deviation: 1

### **Source of information**

Point estimate Voice and Accountability 1996

Standard Error Voice and Accountability 1996(VA SE 1996)

File: WLD 2004 GM v01 M

## Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 192  
Invalid: 17  
Minimum: 0.2  
Maximum: 0.4  
Mean: 0.3  
Standard deviation: 0.1

## **Source of information**

Standard Error Voice and Accountability 1996

Number of individual sources Voice and Accountability  
1996(VA\_N\_1996)  
File: WLD 2004 GM v01 M

## Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 192  
Invalid: 17

#### **Source of information**

Number of individual sources Voice and Accountability 1996

Point estimate Political Stability 2004(PS Est 2004)

File: WLD 2004 GM v01 M

## Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 207  
Invalid: 2  
Minimum: -2.9  
Maximum: 1.8  
Mean: -0  
Standard deviation: 1

#### Source of information

Point estimate Political Stability 2004

## Standard Error Political Stability 2004(PS\_SE\_2004)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 207  
Invalid: 2  
Minimum: 0.2  
Maximum: 0.6  
Mean: 0.3  
Standard deviation: 0.1

#### Source of information

Standard Error Political Stability 2004

## Number of individual sources Political Stability 2004(PS\_N\_2004)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 207  
Invalid: 2

#### Source of information

Number of individual sources Political Stability 2004

## Point estimate Political Stability 2002(PS\_Est\_2002)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 186  
Invalid: 23  
Minimum: -2.4  
Maximum: 1.7  
Mean: 0  
Standard deviation: 1

#### Source of information

Point estimate Political Stability 2002

## Standard Error Political Stability 2002(PS\_SE\_2002)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 186  
Invalid: 23  
Minimum: 0.2  
Maximum: 0.4  
Mean: 0.3  
Standard deviation: 0.1

#### Source of information

Standard Error Political Stability 2002

## Number of individual sources Political Stability 2002(PS\_N\_2002) File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 186  
Invalid: 23

#### Source of information

Number of individual sources Political Stability 2002

## Point estimate Political Stability 2000(PS\_Est\_2000) File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 166  
Invalid: 43  
Minimum: -2.8  
Maximum: 1.7  
Mean: 0  
Standard deviation: 1

#### Source of information

Point estimate Political Stability 2000

## Standard Error Political Stability 2000(PS\_SE\_2000) File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 166  
Invalid: 43  
Minimum: 0.2  
Maximum: 0.9  
Mean: 0.3  
Standard deviation: 0.1

#### Source of information

Standard Error Political Stability 2000

## Number of individual sources Political Stability 2000(PS\_N\_2000) File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 166  
Invalid: 43

#### Source of information

Number of individual sources Political Stability 2000

## Point estimate Political Stability 1998(PS\_Est\_1998)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous	Valid cases: 166
Width: 10	Invalid: 43
	Minimum: -2.8
	Maximum: 1.8
	Mean: 0
	Standard deviation: 1

### Source of information

Point estimate Political Stability 1998

## Standard Error Political Stability 1998(PS\_SE\_1998)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous	Valid cases: 166
Width: 10	Invalid: 43
	Minimum: 0.2
	Maximum: 0.7
	Mean: 0.3
	Standard deviation: 0.1

### Source of information

Standard Error Political Stability 1998

## Number of individual sources Political Stability 1998(PS\_N\_1998)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Discrete	Valid cases: 166
Width: 10	Invalid: 43

### Source of information

Number of individual sources Political Stability 1998

## Point estimate Political Stability 1996(PS\_Est\_1996)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous	Valid cases: 165
Width: 10	Invalid: 44
	Minimum: -3
	Maximum: 1.6
	Mean: 0
	Standard deviation: 1

### Source of information

Point estimate Political Stability 1996

## Standard Error Political Stability 1996(PS\_SE\_1996)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 165  
Invalid: 44  
Minimum: 0.3  
Maximum: 0.7  
Mean: 0.4  
Standard deviation: 0.1

#### Source of information

Standard Error Political Stability 1996

## Number of individual sources Political Stability 1996(PS\_N\_1996) File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 165  
Invalid: 44

#### Source of information

Number of individual sources Political Stability 1996

## Point estimate Government Effectiveness 2004(GE\_Est\_2004) File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 209  
Invalid: NaN  
Minimum: -2.3  
Maximum: 2.3  
Mean: 0  
Standard deviation: 1

#### Source of information

Point estimate Government Effectiveness 2004

## Standard Error Government Effectiveness 2004(GE\_SE\_2004) File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 209  
Invalid: NaN  
Minimum: 0.1  
Maximum: 0.8  
Mean: 0.2  
Standard deviation: 0.1

#### Source of information

Standard Error Government Effectiveness 2004

## Number of individual sources Government Effectiveness 2004(GE\_N\_2004) File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 8

Valid cases: 209  
Invalid: NaN

#### Source of information

Number of individual sources Government Effectiveness 2004

## Point estimate Government Effectiveness 2002(GE\_Est\_2002)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous	Valid cases: 202
Width: 10	Invalid: 7
	Minimum: -2
	Maximum: 2.4
	Mean: -0
	Standard deviation: 1

### Source of information

Point estimate Government Effectiveness 2002

## Standard Error Government Effectiveness 2002(GE\_SE\_2002)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous	Valid cases: 202
Width: 10	Invalid: 7
	Minimum: 0.1
	Maximum: 0.8
	Mean: 0.2
	Standard deviation: 0.1

### Source of information

Standard Error Government Effectiveness 2002

## Number of individual sources Government Effectiveness

2002(GE\_N\_2002)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Discrete	Valid cases: 202
Width: 10	Invalid: 7

### Source of information

Number of individual sources Government Effectiveness 2002

## Point estimate Government Effectiveness 2000(GE\_Est\_2000)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous	Valid cases: 187
Width: 10	Invalid: 22
	Minimum: -2.6
	Maximum: 2.4
	Mean: -0
	Standard deviation: 1

### Source of information

Point estimate Government Effectiveness 2000

## Standard Error Government Effectiveness 2000(GE\_SE\_2000)

### File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 187  
Invalid: 22  
Minimum: 0.2  
Maximum: 0.7  
Mean: 0.3  
Standard deviation: 0.1

#### Source of information

Standard Error Government Effectiveness 2000

## Number of individual sources Government Effectiveness 2000(GE\_N\_2000)

### File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 187  
Invalid: 22

#### Source of information

Number of individual sources Government Effectiveness 2000

## Point estimate Government Effectiveness 1998(GE\_Est\_1998)

### File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 184  
Invalid: 25  
Minimum: -2.2  
Maximum: 2.6  
Mean: -0  
Standard deviation: 1

#### Source of information

Point estimate Government Effectiveness 1998

## Standard Error Government Effectiveness 1998(GE\_SE\_1998)

### File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 184  
Invalid: 25  
Minimum: 0.2  
Maximum: 0.8  
Mean: 0.3  
Standard deviation: 0.1

#### Source of information

Standard Error Government Effectiveness 1998

## Number of individual sources Government Effectiveness

1998(GE\_N\_1998)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 184  
Invalid: 25

### Source of information

Number of individual sources Government Effectiveness 1998

## Point estimate Government Effectiveness 1996(GE\_Est\_1996)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 180  
Invalid: 29  
Minimum: -2.2  
Maximum: 2.5  
Mean: 0  
Standard deviation: 1

### Source of information

Point estimate Government Effectiveness 1996

## Standard Error Government Effectiveness 1996(GE\_SE\_1996)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 180  
Invalid: 29  
Minimum: 0.2  
Maximum: 0.6  
Mean: 0.3  
Standard deviation: 0.1

### Source of information

Standard Error Government Effectiveness 1996

## Number of individual sources Government Effectiveness

1996(GE\_N\_1996)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 180  
Invalid: 29

### Source of information

Number of individual sources Government Effectiveness 1996

## Point estimate Regulatory Quality 2004(RQ\_Est\_2004)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 204  
Invalid: 5  
Minimum: -2.6  
Maximum: 2  
Mean: -0  
Standard deviation: 1

#### Source of information

Point estimate Regulatory Quality 2004

## Standard Error Regulatory Quality 2004(RQ\_SE\_2004)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 204  
Invalid: 5  
Minimum: 0.2  
Maximum: 0.8  
Mean: 0.3  
Standard deviation: 0.1

#### Source of information

Standard Error Regulatory Quality 2004

## Number of individual sources Regulatory Quality 2004(RQ\_N\_2004)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 204  
Invalid: 5

#### Source of information

Number of individual sources Regulatory Quality 2004

## Point estimate Regulatory Quality 2002(RQ\_Est\_2002)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 197  
Invalid: 12  
Minimum: -2.3  
Maximum: 2  
Mean: 0  
Standard deviation: 1

#### Source of information

Point estimate Regulatory Quality 2002

## Standard Error Regulatory Quality 2002(RQ\_SE\_2002)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 197  
Invalid: 12  
Minimum: 0.2  
Maximum: 0.7  
Mean: 0.2  
Standard deviation: 0.1

#### Source of information

Standard Error Regulatory Quality 2002

## Number of individual sources Regulatory Quality 2002(RQ\_N\_2002)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 197  
Invalid: 12

#### Source of information

Number of individual sources Regulatory Quality 2002

## Point estimate Regulatory Quality 2000(RQ\_Est\_2000)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 188  
Invalid: 21  
Minimum: -3.6  
Maximum: 2.3  
Mean: -0  
Standard deviation: 1

#### Source of information

Point estimate Regulatory Quality 2000

## Standard Error Regulatory Quality 2000(RQ\_SE\_2000)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 188  
Invalid: 21  
Minimum: 0.2  
Maximum: 1  
Mean: 0.3  
Standard deviation: 0.1

#### Source of information

Standard Error Regulatory Quality 2000

## Number of individual sources Regulatory Quality 2000(RQ\_N\_2000)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 188  
Invalid: 21

#### Source of information

Number of individual sources Regulatory Quality 2000

## Point estimate Regulatory Quality 1998(RQ\_Est\_1998)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 185  
Invalid: 24  
Minimum: -4  
Maximum: 1.7  
Mean: 0  
Standard deviation: 1

### Source of information

Point estimate Regulatory Quality 1998

## Standard Error Regulatory Quality 1998(RQ\_SE\_1998)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 185  
Invalid: 24  
Minimum: 0.2  
Maximum: 1  
Mean: 0.3  
Standard deviation: 0.1

### Source of information

Standard Error Regulatory Quality 1998

## Number of individual sources Regulatory Quality 1998(RQ\_N\_1998)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 185  
Invalid: 24

### Source of information

Number of individual sources Regulatory Quality 1998

## Point estimate Regulatory Quality 1996(RQ\_Est\_1996)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 182  
Invalid: 27  
Minimum: -2.9  
Maximum: 2.6  
Mean: -0  
Standard deviation: 1

### Source of information

Point estimate Regulatory Quality 1996

## Standard Error Regulatory Quality 1996(RQ\_SE\_1996)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 182  
Invalid: 27  
Minimum: 0.2  
Maximum: 0.8  
Mean: 0.3  
Standard deviation: 0.1

#### Source of information

Standard Error Regulatory Quality 1996

## Number of individual sources Regulatory Quality 1996(RQ\_N\_1996) File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 182  
Invalid: 27

#### Source of information

Number of individual sources Regulatory Quality 1996

## Point estimate Rule of Law 2004(RL\_Est\_2004) File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 208  
Invalid: 1  
Minimum: -2.3  
Maximum: 2  
Mean: -0  
Standard deviation: 1

#### Source of information

Point estimate Rule of Law 2004

## Standard Error Rule of Law 2004(RL\_SE\_2004) File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 208  
Invalid: 1  
Minimum: 0.1  
Maximum: 0.7  
Mean: 0.2  
Standard deviation: 0.1

#### Source of information

Standard Error Rule of Law 2004

## Number of individual sources Rule of Law 2004(RL\_N\_2004) File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 208  
Invalid: 1

#### Source of information

Number of individual sources Rule of Law 2004

## Point estimate Rule of Law 2002(RL\_Est\_2002)

### File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous	Valid cases: 197
Width: 10	Invalid: 12
	Minimum: -2
	Maximum: 2.1
	Mean: 0
	Standard deviation: 1

#### Source of information

Point estimate Rule of Law 2002

## Standard Error Rule of Law 2002(RL\_SE\_2002)

### File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous	Valid cases: 197
Width: 10	Invalid: 12
	Minimum: 0.1
	Maximum: 0.5
	Mean: 0.2
	Standard deviation: 0.1

#### Source of information

Standard Error Rule of Law 2002

## Number of individual sources Rule of Law 2002(RL\_N\_2002)

### File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Discrete	Valid cases: 197
Width: 10	Invalid: 12

#### Source of information

Number of individual sources Rule of Law 2002

## Point estimate Rule of Law 2000(RL\_Est\_2000)

### File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous	Valid cases: 188
Width: 10	Invalid: 21
	Minimum: -2.3
	Maximum: 2.2
	Mean: 0
	Standard deviation: 1

#### Source of information

Point estimate Rule of Law 2000

## Standard Error Rule of Law 2000(RL\_SE\_2000)

### File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 188  
Invalid: 21  
Minimum: 0.1  
Maximum: 0.7  
Mean: 0.2  
Standard deviation: 0.1

#### Source of information

Standard Error Rule of Law 2000

## Number of individual sources Rule of Law 2000(RL\_N\_2000)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 188  
Invalid: 21

#### Source of information

Number of individual sources Rule of Law 2000

## Point estimate Rule of Law 1998(RL\_Est\_1998)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 186  
Invalid: 23  
Minimum: -2  
Maximum: 2.4  
Mean: 0  
Standard deviation: 1

#### Source of information

Point estimate Rule of Law 1998

## Standard Error Rule of Law 1998(RL\_SE\_1998)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 186  
Invalid: 23  
Minimum: 0.2  
Maximum: 0.7  
Mean: 0.3  
Standard deviation: 0.1

#### Source of information

Standard Error Rule of Law 1998

## Number of individual sources Rule of Law 1998(RL\_N\_1998)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 186  
Invalid: 23

#### Source of information

Number of individual sources Rule of Law 1998

## Point estimate Rule of Law 1996(RL\_Est\_1996)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous	Valid cases: 167
Width: 10	Invalid: 42
	Minimum: -2.2
	Maximum: 2.2
	Mean: 0
	Standard deviation: 1

### Source of information

Point estimate Rule of Law 1996

## Standard Error Rule of Law 1996(RL\_SE\_1996)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous	Valid cases: 167
Width: 10	Invalid: 42
	Minimum: 0.1
	Maximum: 0.7
	Mean: 0.3
	Standard deviation: 0.2

### Source of information

Standard Error Rule of Law 1996

## Number of individual sources Rule of Law 1996(RL\_N\_1996)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Discrete	Valid cases: 167
Width: 10	Invalid: 42

### Source of information

Number of individual sources Rule of Law 1996

## Point estimate Control of Corruption 2004(CC\_Est\_2004)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous	Valid cases: 204
Width: 10	Invalid: 5
	Minimum: -1.7
	Maximum: 2.5
	Mean: 0
	Standard deviation: 1

### Source of information

Point estimate Control of Corruption 2004

## Standard Error Control of Corruption 2004(CC\_SE\_2004)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 204  
Invalid: 5  
Minimum: 0.1  
Maximum: 0.4  
Mean: 0.2  
Standard deviation: 0.1

#### Source of information

Standard Error Control of Corruption 2004

## Number of individual sources Control of Corruption 2004(CC\_N\_2004)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 204  
Invalid: 5

#### Source of information

Number of individual sources Control of Corruption 2004

## Point estimate Control of Corruption 2002(CC\_Est\_2002)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 197  
Invalid: 12  
Minimum: -1.9  
Maximum: 2.5  
Mean: 0  
Standard deviation: 1

#### Source of information

Point estimate Control of Corruption 2002

## Standard Error Control of Corruption 2002(CC\_SE\_2002)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 197  
Invalid: 12  
Minimum: 0.1  
Maximum: 0.5  
Mean: 0.2  
Standard deviation: 0.1

#### Source of information

Standard Error Control of Corruption 2002

## Number of individual sources Control of Corruption 2002(CC\_N\_2002)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 197  
Invalid: 12

#### Source of information

Number of individual sources Control of Corruption 2002

## Point estimate Control of Corruption 2000(CC\_Est\_2000)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous	Valid cases: 187
Width: 10	Invalid: 22
	Minimum: -2.1
	Maximum: 2.6
	Mean: 0
	Standard deviation: 1

### Source of information

Point estimate Control of Corruption 2000

## Standard Error Control of Corruption 2000(CC\_SE\_2000)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous	Valid cases: 187
Width: 10	Invalid: 22
	Minimum: 0.1
	Maximum: 0.7
	Mean: 0.2
	Standard deviation: 0.1

### Source of information

Standard Error Control of Corruption 2000

## Number of individual sources Control of Corruption 2000(CC\_N\_2000)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Discrete	Valid cases: 187
Width: 10	Invalid: 22

### Source of information

Number of individual sources Control of Corruption 2000

## Point estimate Control of Corruption 1998(CC\_Est\_1998)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous	Valid cases: 184
Width: 10	Invalid: 25
	Minimum: -1.6
	Maximum: 2.6
	Mean: 0
	Standard deviation: 1

### Source of information

Point estimate Control of Corruption 1998

## Standard Error Control of Corruption 1998(CC\_SE\_1998)

File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 184  
Invalid: 25  
Minimum: 0.1  
Maximum: 0.8  
Mean: 0.2  
Standard deviation: 0.1

#### Source of information

Standard Error Control of Corruption 1998

## Number of individual sources Control of Corruption 1998(CC\_N\_1998) File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 184  
Invalid: 25

#### Source of information

Number of individual sources Control of Corruption 1998

## Point estimate Control of Corruption 1996(CC\_Est\_1996) File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 151  
Invalid: 58  
Minimum: -2  
Maximum: 2.2  
Mean: -0  
Standard deviation: 1

#### Source of information

Point estimate Control of Corruption 1996

## Standard Error Control of Corruption 1996(CC\_SE\_1996) File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Continuous  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 151  
Invalid: 58  
Minimum: 0.2  
Maximum: 0.6  
Mean: 0.3  
Standard deviation: 0.1

#### Source of information

Standard Error Control of Corruption 1996

## Number of individual sources Control of Corruption 1996(CC\_N\_1996) File: WLD\_2004\_GM\_v01\_M

#### Overview

Type: Discrete  
Width: 10

Valid cases: 151  
Invalid: 58

#### Source of information

Number of individual sources Control of Corruption 1996



# Documentation

## Other materials

### Governance Matters IV: Governance Indicators for 1996-2004

---

Title Governance Matters IV: Governance Indicators for 1996-2004

Author(s) Daniel Kaufmann, Aart Kraay, and Massimo Mastruzzi

Date 2005-05-01

Country World

Language English

Filename GovMattersIV.pdf

---