Kenya - National Information and Communication Technology Survey 2010

Report generated on: October 29, 2014

Visit our data catalog at: http://catalog.ihsn.org/index.php

Overview

Identification

ID NUMBER KEN_2010_NICTS_v01_M

Version

VERSION DESCRIPTION Version 01

Overview

ABSTRACT

In an effort to address the ICT data challenges, the Communications Commission of Kenya (CCK) partnered with Kenya National Bureau of Statistics (KNBS) to undertake a comprehensive National ICT Survey. This was planned and executed during the months of May and June 2010.

The main objective of the study was to collect, collate and analyse data relating to ICT access and usage by various categorizations in Kenya. The survey captured data and information on critical ICT indicators as defined by international bodies such as the International Telecommunications Union (ITU). These indicators focused on household and individuals; and the data was be disaggregated by age, gender, administrative regions, rural and urban locations.

The specific objectives of the study were to; Obtain social economic information with a view of understanding usage patterns of ICT services;

- (a) Obtain social economic information with a view of understanding usage patterns of ICT services;
- (b) Collect, collate and analyze ICT statistics in line with ICT indicators;
- (c) Evaluate the factors that will have the greatest impact in ensuring access and usage of ICTs and;
- (d) Develop a database on access and usage of ICT in Kenya

KIND OF DATA
Sample survey data [ssd]

UNITS OF ANALYSIS District, Household, Individual

Scope

NOTES

The ICT survey sought information on the general characteristics of the sampled population, including composition by age and sex, household size, education, employment, literacy, disability and source of electricity to households, and ICT infrastructure- Access and usage by Household members.

TOPICS

| Topic | Vocabulary | URI |
|----------------|------------|-----|
| Infrastructure | World Bank | |

| Topic | Vocabulary | URI |
|------------------------------------------|------------|-----|
| Information & Communication Technologies | World Bank | |

KEYWORDS

Communication, Technology

Coverage

GEOGRAPHIC COVERAGE

National coverage

UNIVERSE

Households from the sampled areas.

Producers and Sponsors

PRIMARY INVESTIGATOR(S)

| Name | Affiliation |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Kenya National Bureau of Statistics | Ministry of Planning and National Development |

FUNDING

| Name | Abbreviation | Role | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------|--|
| Communication Commission of Kenya | CCK | Funding | |

Metadata Production

METADATA PRODUCED BY

| Name | Abbreviation | Affiliation | Role |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Kenya National Bureau of statistics | KNBS | Ministry of Planning, National Development and Vision 2030 | Implementing Agency |
| Accelerated Data Program | ADP | International Household Survey Network | Review of the metadata |

DATE OF METADATA PRODUCTION

2013-05-31

DDI DOCUMENT VERSION

Version 02 (October 2013). Edited version based on Version 01 DDI that was done by Kenya National Bureau of Statistics and reviewed by Accelerated Data Program, International Household Survey Network.

DDI DOCUMENT ID

DDI_KEN_2010_NICTS_v02_M

Sampling

Sampling Procedure

The National Sample Survey and Evaluation Programme (NASSEP IV) maintained by the Bureau was used as the sampling frame. The frame has 1,800 clusters spread all over the

country, and covers all socio-economic classes and hence able to get a suitable and representative sample of the population. The survey was distributed into four domains, namely:

- 1. National,
- 2. Major Urban areas,
- 3. Other Urban areas, and
- 4. Rural areas.

The major urban towns included Nairobi, Thika, Mombasa, Kisumu, Nakuru and Eldoret. All other areas defined as urban by KNBS but fall outside the major municipalities above were

categorized as 'other urban areas'. The rural domain was further sub-divided into their respective provinces, excluding Nairobi which is purely urban. For the 'rural' component, the districts that display identical socio-cultural and economic conditions have been pooled together to create strata from which a representative set of districts is selected to represent the group of such districts. A total of 42 such stratifications were done and one district in each categorization was selected. The major urban areas of the country namely Nairobi, Mombasa, Kisumu, Nakuru, Eldoret and Thika were all sub-stratified into five sub-strata based on perceived levels of income into the:

- 1. Upper income
- 2. Lower Upper
- 3. Middle
- 4. Lower Middle and
- 5. Lower.

In this survey, all the six 'major urban' are included while just a few of the 'other urban areas' are selected depending on their population (household) distribution.

Selection of the Clusters for the Survey

The selection of the sample clusters was done systematically using the Equal Probability Selection method (EPSEM). Since NASSEP IV was developed using Probability Proportional to

Size (PPS) method, the resulting sample retains its properties. The selection was done independently within the districts and the urban /rural sub-stratum.

Selection of the Households

From each selected cluster, an equal number of 15 households were selected systematically, with a random start. The systematic sampling method was adopted as it enables the distribution of the sample across the cluster evenly and yields good estimates for the population parameters. Selection of the households was done at the office and assigned to the Research Assistants, with strictly no allowance for replacement of non-responding households.

Deviations from Sample Design

Owing to the some logistical challenges the following clusters were partially or not covered at all:

- One cluster in Tana River due to floods.
- Two clusters in Molo where households shifted to safer areas after the Post Election Violence (PEV). As a result, fewer than the expected households were covered.
- One cluster in Koibatek was covered halfway due to relocation of households to pave way for a large plantation.

Where there was no school found within the cluster, Research Assistant was allowed to sample an institution from a neighbouring cluster. In some districts, the schools were found to be very far from the cluster and therefore could not be covered. Where a cluster was to be covered over a weekend, it was often not possible to find a responsible person in institutions to respond to the questionnaire.

Response Rate

The overall response rate stood at 85.9 per cent. Nairobi had the lowest response rate at 69.4 per cent while the highest (94.6 per cent) was realized in North Eastern. More than 95.5 per cent of all the sampled households were occupied out of which 85.9 per cent were interviewed.

Weighting

The resulting sample would not be self weighting owing to the unproportional allocation of the sample into the domains. Weights were developed to account for the selection probabilities. The

weights were developed using the design weights of the clusters, the response levels and the number of clusters in the survey. In the computation process, adjustment was done for cluster and household non-response. The generation of the cluster weights is the product of sample cluster design weight, household and cluster response adjustment factors.

Questionnaires

Overview

Household questionnaire: This will be used to collect background information pertaining to the members of the household and businesses operated by household members. It will collect information about each person in the household such as name, sex, age, education, and relationship to household head etcetera. This information is vital for calculating certain socio-demographic characteristics of the household. The Business module in the household questionnaire will be used to collect information pertaining to usage of ICT in businesses identified in the household. To estimate the magnitude, levels and distribution of ICT usage in the country, all the selected respondents 15 years and above will be subjected to business questionnaire.

Institutional Questionnaire: This will collect information pertaining to institutions providing ICT related programmes in the country. This information will be analyzed to identify gaps and other issues of concern, which need to be addressed in the promotion ICT provision in the country.

Data Collection

Data Collection Dates

 Start
 End
 Cycle

 2010-05-30
 2010-06-20
 N/A

Data Collection Mode

Face-to-face [f2f]

Data Collection Notes

Training

The training for fieldwork personnel took 6 days. This covered the contents of the questionnaire as well as survey concepts, logistics and other related issues. The survey personnel were also taken through the standard survey methodology and data collection procedures which included among others; how to interview and record different types of responses, applying skip patterns and cancelling wrong answers. A total of seven trainers facilitated the training.

Data collection

To aid in identification and access to the household, letters of introduction and identification badges were provided to the RAs. This was in addition to facilitating the team with a village elder recognised by the community. Prior to visiting the clusters, teams also went for courtesy calls to the nearest provincial administration offices.

Data collection took 30 days from 30th May and 20th June 2010. Research Assistants visited sampled households to administer the questionnaires. It took the RAs approximately 40-50 minutes to administer the questionnaire depending on the size of the household. Most of the teams managed to collect the data within the stipulated timeframe except teams from Upper Eastern, Nairobi and Nyanza Provinces where data collection was completed a week later owing to various challenges that were encountered.

Questionnaires

Household questionnaire: This will be used to collect background information pertaining to the members of the household and businesses operated by household members. It will collect information about each person in the household such as name, sex, age, education, and relationship to household head etcetera. This information is vital for calculating certain socio-demographic characteristics of the household. The Business module in the household questionnaire will be used to collect information pertaining to usage of ICT in businesses identified in the household. To estimate the magnitude, levels and distribution of ICT usage in the country, all the selected respondents 15 years and above will be subjected to business questionnaire.

Institutional Questionnaire: This will collect information pertaining to institutions providing ICT related programmes in the country. This information will be analyzed to identify gaps and other issues of concern, which need to be addressed in the promotion ICT provision in the country.

Data Collectors

| Name | Abbreviation | Affiliation |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Kenya National Bureau of Statistics | KNBS | Ministry of Planning and National Development |

Supervision

Each team comprised of four research assistants, one supervisor and a driver. The Field Supervisors were responsible for at least one team. The teams were assigned to operate in areas where their local languages are spoken. The supervisors were

answerable to the Project Team Leader (The Lead Co-Coordinator) through designated Regional Coordinators.

Data Processing

Data Editing

As a matter of procedure initial manual editing was done in the field by the RAs. The supervisors further checked the questionnaires and validated the data in the field by randomly sampling 20 per cent of the filled questionnaires. After the questionnaires were received from the field, an office editing team was constituted to do office editing.

Data was captured using Census and Survey Processing System (CSPRO) version 4.0 through a data entry screen specially created with checks to ensure accuracy during data entry. All questionnaires were double entered to ensure data quality. Erroneous entries and potential outliers were then verified and corrected appropriately. A total of 20 data entry personnel were engaged during the exercise.

The captured data were exported to Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) for cleaning and analysis. The cleaned data was weighted before final analysis. The weighting of the data involved application of inflation factors derived from the selection probabilities of the EAs and households detailed in section 2.2.7, on weighting the Sample Data.

Data Appraisal

No content available

Documentation

Questionnaires

Household Questionnaire

Title Household Questionnaire

Author(s) Kenya National Bureau of Statistics

Date 2010-05-14 Country Kenya Language English

Publisher(s) Kenya National Bureau of Statistics (KNBS), Communications Commission of Kenya (CCK)

Description Comprises questions to households. Filename Household Questionnaire-Final.pdf

Reports

National ICT Survey Report

National ICT Survey Report Kenya National Burea of Statistics 2011-06-01 Kenya English Title Author(s) Date Country Language Description

This report presents results of the national ICT Survey conducted by the Kenya National Bureau of Statistics [KNBS] in liaison with the Communications Commission of Kenya [CCK]. The survey was carried out over a period of thirty days

```
TABLE OF CONTENTS
             LIST OF TABLES III
LIST OF FIGURES W
ACKON MYSABBERVATIONS V
ACKON MYSABBERVATIONS V
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY W
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 1
1.0 Background 1
1.3 the National (CT Study 1
1.3 the National (CT Study 1
1.5) suffication 2
APAPTER 2: SUM/EY ORGANIZATION AND METHODOLOGY 3
CHAPTER 2: SUM/EY ORGANIZATION AND METHODOLOGY 3
CHAPTER 2: SUM/EY ORGANIZATION AND METHODOLOGY 3
1.0 Background 1.1
3 The National ICT Study 1
3 Lower graphication 3
2.2 Sample design and weighting 3
2.2.3 Survey domains 4
2.2.4 Straitfication 4
2.2.4 Straitfication 4
2.2.4 Straitfication 4
2.2.5 Selection of Sample Size 4
2.2.4 Straitfication 4
2.2.5 Selection of Study 1
2.5 Selection of Study 1
2.5 Selection of Study 1
2.5 Selection of the Unselected 5
2.7 Weighting the Sample Data 5
2.2.7 Weighting the Sample Data 5
2.2.8 Estimation of the Population Parameters 6
2.2.9 Allocation of the sample to domains 6
2.3 Flocation of the Sample Data 5
2.1 The Institutional questionnaire 6
2.4 Selection of Household Businesses 7
2.5 Training and Data Collection 7
2.5.1 Training and Data Collection 7
2.5.1 Training and Data Collection 7
2.5.1 Training 3
2.5 The Institutional questionnaire 6
3.1 Household Characteristics 9
3.2 Household Characteristics 9
3.3 Household Characteristics 9
3.3 Household Characteristics 9
3.3 Household Characteristics 9
3.4 Percentage Distribution by Level of Education Reached 9
3.5 Household Composition 9
3.6 Household Composition 9
3.8 Persons with Disabilities 16
3.10 Source of electricity 17
CHAPTER 3. HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS 2
4.4 Use of Computers 2.4
4.1 Introduction 19
4.2 Access 19
4.2 Access 19
4.3 Household Characteristics 23
4.4 Use of Computers 2.4
4.5 Use of Internet 2.6
4.6 Ownership 3.4
4.7 Postal Services 36
4.8 Letters Sent 37
4.9 Letters received 38
CHAPTER 3. HOUSEHOLD CONSUMPTION OF SERVICES 40
CHAPTER 4. HOUSEHOLD CONSUMPTION OF SERVICES 40
CHAPTER 5. HOUSEHOLD CONSUMPTION OF SERVICES 40
CHAPTER 8. CONCLUSION 44
    LIST OF TABLES
Table 2.1: Results of household and individual interviews 8
Table 2.1: Results of household and individual interviews 8
Table 2.1: Results of household and individual interviews 8
Table 3.1: Percentage Distribution of Surveyed Population by Sex, Province, Age and Level of Education 10
Table 3.2: Percentage Distribution of the level of deucation reached by sex province and Age 11
Table 3.3: Percentage Distribution of Household Headship and Household Composition 12
Table 3.5: Percentage Distribution of household Headship and Household Composition 12
Table 3.6: Percentage Distribution of the Employment Status (15.4) by province, sex and Age 11
Table 3.7: Percentage Distribution of Source of Electricity by Province, Sex, Age and Level of Education 18
Table 4.1: Percentage Distribution of Source of Electricity by Province, Sex, Age and Level of Education 18
Table 4.3: Percentage Distribution of Population with Access to ICT Equipment 3-1) by province, sex and age 21
Table 4.3: Percentage Distribution of Population with internet activities in the last 5 months by place of Uses (3+) 27
Table 4.3: Percentage Distribution of Population with internet activities in the last 5 months by frequency of use (3+) 27
Table 4.3: Percentage Distribution of Population with Access to Internet in the last 12 months by place of Use (3+) 23
Table 4.4: Percentage Distribution of Population with Access to Internet use by Internet activities in last 6 months (3+) 32
Table 4.9: Percentage Distribution of Population with Access to Internet use by Internet activities in last 6 months (3+) 32
Table 4.9: Percentage Distribution of Population with own basis household equipment (3+) 35
Table 4.0: Percentage Distribution of Population with own basis household equipment (3+) 35
Table 4.10: Percentage Distribution of Population with own basis household equipment (3+) 35
Table 4.10: Percentage Distribution of Population with own basis household equipment (3+) 35
Table 4.10: Percentage Distribution of Population with own basis househol
         UST OF FIGURES
Figure 4.1: Proportion of Population with Access to Some Selected ICT Equipments (3+) 20
Figure 4.2: Access of ICT Equipments by Sex 22
Figure 4.3: Access to ICT Equipment by Education Level (Age 3+) 22
Figure 4.5: Description of Figure 4.5: Use of Internet by Age 3-1
Figure 4.5: Use of Internet by Age in the Six Months Preceding the Survey 28
Figure 4.6: Percentage Distribution of Population with Access to Internet by Purpose of Use 30
```

ACRONYMS/ABBREVIATIONS

ACRONYMS/ABBREVIATIONS

BPO Business Process Outsourcing
CCK Communications Commission of Kenya
CCK Law (Communication Communication Comm

Technical documents

ICT Survey 2010 Interviewer's Manual

| Title | ICT Survey 2010 Interviewer's Manual |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Author(s) | Kenya National Burea of Statistics Communication Commission of Kenya |
| Date | 2010-05-14 |
| Country | Kenya |
| Language | English |
| Description | Manual for delivering NICTS 2010 |
| Table of contents | Table of Contents Pg No. 1.0 INTRODUCTION |
| Filename | manual for ICT survey Final.pdf |