## CHAPTER VII

## SIZE, CHARACTERISTICS AND PURPOSE OF LIVESTOCK AND USE OF LIVESTOCK PRODUCTS

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Ethiopia is one of the most populous countries in Africa, having an estimated population of about 67.2 million in July 2002 with annual growth rate of $2.9 \%$. This growing population demands much better economic performance than in the past, at least to ensure food security and other basic needs. The dominant economic feature of the country is the agriculture sector of which livestock is a very important and essential component. The highlanders raise livestock together with crop cultivation for their livelihood whereas the lowlanders or the 'pastoralists' subsistence is based mainly on livestock and livestock products. Consequently, the government should give due attention to this sector in order to take advantage of its contribution to the economic growth and as a result to meet the needs of the expanding population.

The livestock sector has been contributing significant portion to the economy of Ethiopia, but still has great potential to assist the economic development of this country. It is well known that livestock products and byproducts in the form of meat, milk, honey, eggs, cheese, and butter supply the needed animal protein that contribute to the improvement of the nutritional status of the people. Livestock also plays an important role in providing export commodities, such as live animals, hides and skins to earn foreign exchanges to the country. On the other hand, draught animals provide power for the cultivation of the small holdings and for crop threshing virtually all over the country and are also essential modes of transport to take holders and their families longdistances, to convey their agricultural products to the market places and bring back their
domestic necessities. Livestock as well confer a certain degree of security in times of crop failure, as they are a "near-cash" capital stock. Furthermore, livestock provides farmyard manure that is commonly applied to improve soil fertility and also used as a source of energy.

By virtue of the important role that the livestock sector plays in the economy of the country, formulation of development plan regarding the sector is vital. It is therefore imperative that livestock development plans should be formulated on the basis of reliable statistical data, and hence, timely and accurate livestock data are required for the formulation, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation of development plan and program in the sector. These livestock data can be generated more often than not using surveys and censuses. The Central Statistical Authority (CSA) has been generating livestock data through sample surveys since 1981 (1973 E.C.). However, based on the results of these surveys, CSA was not able to satisfy the growing demand of the data users regarding the sector. Realizing this fact, CSA proposed and conducted the first agricultural census in the year 2001/02.

As mentioned earlier in Chapter II, agricultural censuses are classified into two categories: censuses conducted by complete enumeration or conducted by sample enumeration. In agricultural censuses conducted by complete enumeration, data are collected from all holders and the result for each variable is obtained by totaling the values of the respective variable from all holders. On the other hand, agricultural censuses conducted based on sample enumeration are probability sample surveys for which a sample is selected and the method of estimation for each census variable permits establishing its statistical precision. Therefore, conducting complete enumeration is more expensive, time consuming and requires large number of personnel. Likewise, quantity of data to be processed is very large (FAO, 1996). By considering these realities and the economic condition of the country, the sample enumeration was favored.

The livestock census was carried-out as part of the agricultural census. The general objective of the livestock census is to establish benchmark data that could be used for development planning and policy formulation regarding the sector, and the specific objectives are to purvey quantitative information on the size and characteristics of the livestock in rural and urban areas at wereda level, and to provide estimates on size and characteristics of livestock for pastoral areas and commercial farms. In order to meet these objectives, data on: livestock number by type, age, sex, purpose and breed; livestock products particularly milk, egg, and honey; livestock diseases and vaccination; livestock product utilization; and animal feed were collected from sampled agricultural households in rural and urban areas as well as from all commercial farms. In addition, these same data will be collected from pastoral areas in the near future.

The expected users of these data are government organizations involved in planning purposes, individuals or firms raising livestock, non-governmental organizations that provide technical and financial assistance, international organizations which are interested in livestock, and research organizations.

In this chapter of the report: estimates of livestock that include cattle, sheep, goats, draught animals (horses, mules, asses and camels), poultry and bees were made based on the information obtained from the holders within the selected agricultural households both in rural and urban areas as to the reference date (February 8,2002) and reference period (February 9,2001 to February 8, 2002). Thus, the results obtained from the livestock census for the rural and urban areas of the region as well as brief discussions made on the results are presented in this chapter. Also the census results at Administration level are provided in Statistical Tables 7.1- 7.36. Moreover, the estimates, Standard errors and coefficients of variation are given in Annex Tables 7.1 7.10 for some relevant variables.

## 2. LIVESTOCK NUMBER BY BREED, AGE, SEX AND PURPOSE

The livestock census is the first of its kind in the nation to supply data on the size and characteristics of livestock for rural and urban areas and commercial farms at wereda level, and also for pastoral areas though the census not yet conducted. The livestock census that was carried-out in Dire Dawa administration was part of the national census and covered both rural and urban areas of the region on sample basis. Commercial farms that are found in the region were also covered on complete enumeration basis though the results are not presented here.

The total number of each type of livestock as well as the numbers disaggregated by breed, age, sex, and purpose possessed by holders on the reference date (February 8, 2002), irrespective of ownership, were recorded by interviewing each holder in the sampled agricultural households both in rural and urban areas of the region. The numbers also include the livestock belonging to the holding but temporarily away or in transit at the time of the enumeration.

### 2.1 Cattle

The estimates of cattle for rural and urban areas in Dire Dawa administration are presented in Summary Table VII.1. As shown on the table, the total cattle population for the region is estimated to be 54,155 . Out of this total cattle population, the female cattle constitute about 66.9 percent $(36,228)$ and the remaining 33.1 percent $(17,927)$ are male cattle. The majority ( 93.64 percent) of the cattle population is found in rural areas, while small proportion is accounted for urban areas ( 6.36 percent).

Regarding age groups, the majority of the cattle population (that is about 52.56 percent) is in the 3 years and under 10 years age category, with about 13.08 percent male and about 39.48 percent female. Moreover, about 44.6 percent are under three years and

## SUMMARY TABLE VII.1: Estimated Number of Cattle by Sex, Age, Breed, and Purpose for Rural and Urban Holdings

| Age,Breed,and Purpose | Cattle on Both Rural and Urban Holdings |  |  |  |  |  | Cattle on Rural Holdings |  |  |  |  |  | Cattle on Urban Holdings |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| Total. $\qquad$ <br> Under 6 months 6 months-under 1 year | 54,155 | 100 | 17,927 | 33.10 | 36,228 | 66.90 | 50,712 | 93.64 | 17,031 | 31.45 | 33,681 | 62.19 | 3,443 | 6.36 | 896 | 1.65 | 2,547 | 4.70 |
|  | 8,785 | 16.22 | 4,191 | 7.74 | 4,595 | 8.48 | 8,143 | 15.04 | 3,904 | 7.21 | 4,239 | 7.83 | 642 | 1.19 | 287 | 0.53 | 355 | 0.66 |
|  | 4,927 | 9.10 | 2,364 | 4.37 | 2,563 | 4.73 | 4,552 | 8.41 | 2,214 | 4.09 | 2,338 | 4.32 | 375 | 0.69 | 150 | 0.28 | 225 | 0.42 |
| 1 year-under 3 years ... | 10,441 | 19.28 | 4,257 | 7.86 | 6,185 | 11.42 | 9,788 | 18.07 | 4,021 | 7.42 | 5,767 | 10.65 | 653 | 1.21 | 235 | 0.43 | 418 | 0.77 |
| 3 years-under 10 years | 28,464 | 52.56 | 7,083 | 13.08 | 21,381 | 39.48 | 26,802 | 49.49 | 6,868 | 12.68 | 19,934 | 36.81 | 1662 | 3.07 | 215 | 0.40 | 1447 | 2.67 |
| 10 years and older ... | 1539 | 2.84 | * | * | 1505 | 2.78 | 1428 | 2.64 | * | * | 1403 | 2.59 | 111 | 0.20 | * | * | 103 | 0.19 |
| Cattle by Breed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total .............. | 54,155 | 100 | 17,927 | 33.10 | 36,228 | 66.90 | 50,712 | 93.64 | 17,031 | 31.45 | 33,681 | 62.19 | 3,443 | 6.36 | 896 | 1.65 | 2,547 | 4.70 |
| Indigenous ............ | 53,762 | 99.27 | 17,873 | 33.00 | 35,890 | 66.27 | 50,499 | 93.25 | 17,015 | 31.42 | 33,484 | 61.83 | 3,264 | 6.03 | 858 | 1.58 | 2,406 | 4.44 |
| Hybrid ............ | 240 | 0.44 | * | * | 196 | 0.36 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Exotic . | 153 | 0.28 | * | * | 143 | 0.26 | * | * |  |  | * | * | 88 | 0.16 | * | * | 78 | 0.14 |
| Aged 3-10 Years by Purpose |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ......... | 28,464 | 100 | 7,083 | 24.88 | 21,381 | 75.12 | 26,802 | 94.16 | 6,868 | 24.13 | 19,934 | 70.03 | 1,662 | 5.84 | 215 | 0.76 | 1,447 | 5.08 |
| Used for Milk . | 18,774 | 65.96 |  |  | 18,774 | 65.96 | 17,623 | 61.91 |  |  | 17,623 | 61.91 | 1,150 | 4.04 |  |  | 1,150 | 4.04 |
| Used for Draught | 6,012 | 21.12 | 5,940 | 20.87 | * | * | 5,908 | 20.76 | 5,843 | 20.53 | * | * | 103 | 0.36 | 97 | 0.34 | * | * |
| Used for Beef | 671 | 2.36 | 655 | 2.30 | * | * | 623 | 2.19 | 606 | 2.13 | * | * | 48 | 0.17 | 48 | 0.17 |  | - |
| Used for Breeding | 2,134 | 7.50 | 423 | 1.49 | 1,711 | 6.01 | 1,821 | 6.40 | 361 | 1.27 | 1,460 | 5.13 | 313 | 1.10 | 62 | 0.00 | 251 | 0.88 |
| Used for Other | 874 | 3.07 | * | * | 809 | 2.84 | 827 | 2.91 | * | * | 770 | 2.71 | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Dairy Animals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dairy Cows.... | 18,774 | 100 |  |  | 18,774 | 100 | 17,623 | 93.87 |  |  | 17,623 | 93.87 | 1,150 | 6.53 |  |  | 1,150 | 6.53 |
| Milking Cows. | 14,737 | 100 |  |  | 14,737 | 100 | 13849 | 93.97 |  |  | 13849 | 93.97 | 888 | 6.41 |  |  | 888 | 6.41 |

FIGURE VII. 1 DISTRIBUTION OF CATTLE BY AGE AND SEX


FIGURE VII. 2 DISTRIBUTION OF CATTLE AGED 3 AND UNDER 10 YEARS BY PURPOSE AND SEX

small portion, which is 2.84 percent, is in 10 years and older category. ( see Tab. VII.1). On the other hand, according to the results obtained, a small amount of hybrid breeds, 0.44 percent and exotic breeds 0.28 percent are reported.

The distribution of cattle by purpose is indicated in the same table. Among cattle aged three years and under ten years, those used for draught purposes accounted for 21.12 percent and the percentage share of beef cattle is the lowest that is about 2.36 percent. Beef cattle here refer to all cattle reared exclusively for meat that is used either for home consumption or for sale. Further, the number of dairy-cows ${ }^{1}$ is estimated to be about 18,774 and milking-cows ${ }^{2}$ are about 14,737 . (See Fig. VII.2).

### 2.2 Sheep and Goats

The estimated numbers and percentage distributions of sheep and goats for rural and urban areas are given in Summary Table VII.2. As pointed out in this table, about 34,015 sheep are estimated to be found in the region, out of which about 67.51 percent are females, and about 32.49 percent are males. Moreover, according to the census result, large number of goats is reported in the region, and it is estimated to be about 91,007. Out of these total goats, 73.16 percent are females and 26.84 percent are males. (See Tab. VII.2).

Among these totals reported in the region, 85.11 percent of the sheep and 92.87 percent of the goats are found in the rural areas. Urban areas accounted for only 14.89 percent and 7.13 percent of the sheep and goats, respectively.

[^0]SUMMARY TABLE VII.2: Estimated Number of Sheep and Goats by Sex, Age, Breed, and Purpose for Rural and Urban Holdings

| Age,Breed,and Purpose | Both Rural and Urban Holdings |  |  |  |  |  | Rural Holdings |  |  |  |  |  | Urban Holdings |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| Total Sheep...................... | 34,015 | 100 | 11,052 | 32.49 | 22,963 | 67.51 | 28,950 | 85.11 | 9,289 | 27.31 | 19,661 | 57.80 | 5,065 | 14.89 | 1,763 | 5.18 | 3,302 | 9.71 |
| Under 6 months | 9,524 | 28.00 | 5,003 | 14.71 | 4,521 | 13.29 | 8,069 | 23.72 | 4,186 | 12.31 | 3,882 | 11.41 | 1,456 | 4.28 | 817 | 2.40 | 639 | 1.88 |
| 6 months-under 1 year ......... | 3,621 | 10.65 | 1,846 | 5.43 | 1,775 | 5.22 | 2,846 | 8.37 | 1,502 | 4.42 | 1,344 | 3.95 | 775 | 2.28 | 343 | 1.01 | 431 | 1.27 |
| 1 year-under 2 years ........... | 5,049 | 14.84 | 1,998 | 5.87 | 3,051 | 8.97 | 4,336 | 12.75 | 1,745 | 5.13 | 2,591 | 7.62 | 713 | 2.10 | 253 | 0.74 | 460 | 1.35 |
| 2 years and older | 15,820 | 46.51 | 2,204 | 6.48 | 13,616 | 40.03 | 13,699 | 40.27 | 1855 | 5.45 | 11,844 | 34.82 | 2,121 | 6.24 | 349 | 1.03 | 1,772 | 5.21 |
| Sheep by Breed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 34,015 | 100 | 11,052 | 32.49 | 22,963 | 67.51 | 28,950 | 85.11 | 9,289 | 27.31 | 19,661 | 57.80 | 5,065 | 14.89 | 1,763 | 5.18 | 3,302 | 9.71 |
| Indigenous | 33,879 | 99.60 | 10,970 | 32.25 | 22,909 | 67.35 | 28,828 | 84.75 | 9,216 | 27.09 | 19,612 | 57.66 | 5,052 | 14.85 | 1,755 | 5.16 | 3,297 | 9.69 |
| Hybrid..... |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | - | - | , | * |
| Exotic .. | * | * | * | * | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | * | * | * | - | - |
| Sheep Aged 2 Years and Older by Purpose |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 15,820 | 100 | 2,204 | 13.93 | 13,616 | 86.07 | 13,699 | 86.59 | 1,855 | 11.73 | 11,844 | 74.87 | 2,121 | 13.41 | 349 | 2.21 | 1,772 | 11.20 |
| Used for Mutton | 1288 | 8.14 | 1,220 | 7.71 | * | * | 1,047 | 6.62 | 985 | 6.23 | * | * | 241 | 1.52 | 235 | 1.49 | * | * |
| Used for Wool .. | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Used for Breeding ............. | 14,410 | 91.09 | 940 | 5.94 | 13,470 | 85.15 | 12,566 | 79.43 | 833 | 5.27 | 11734 | 74.17 | 1,844 | 11.66 | 107 | 0.68 | 1,737 | 10.98 |
| Used for Other ............... | * | * | * | * | , | * |  |  | - |  |  |  | * | * | * | * | , | * |
| Total Goats | 91,007 | 100.00 | 24,425 | 26.84 | 66,582 | 73.16 | 84,517 | 92.87 | 22,544 | 24.77 | 61,973 | 68.10 | 6,490 | 7.13 | 1,881 | 2.07 | 4,609 | 5.06 |
| Under 6 months | 27,575 | 30.30 | 13,494 | 14.83 | 14,081 | 15.47 | 25,551 | 28.08 | 12,427 | 13.65 | 13,124 | 14.42 | 2,024 | 2.22 | 1,067 | 1.17 | 957 | 1.05 |
| 6 months-under 1 year ...... | 10,317 | 11.34 | 4,145 | 4.55 | 6,172 | 6.78 | 9,463 | 10.40 | 3,792 | 4.17 | 5,671 | 6.23 | 854 | 0.94 | 353 | 0.39 | 501 | 0.55 |
| 1 year-under 2 years ............ | 12,161 | 13.36 | 3,420 | 3.76 | 8,741 | 9.60 | 11,229 | 12.34 | 3,175 | 3.49 | 8,054 | 8.85 | 932 | 1.02 | 245 | 0.27 | 687 | 0.75 |
| 2 years and older .............. | 40,954 | 45.00 | 3,367 | 3.70 | 37,588 | 41.30 | 38,273 | 42.06 | 3,150 | 3.46 | 35,123 | 38.59 | 2,681 | 2.95 | 216 | 0.24 | 2,465 | 2.71 |
| Goats by Breed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 91,007 | 100 | 24425 | 26.84 | 66,582 | 73.18 | 84,517 | 92.89 | 22,544 | 24.78 | 61,973 | 68.11 | 6,490 | 7.13 | 1,881 | 2.07 | 4,609 | 5.07 |
| Indigenous ................... | 90,986 | 99.98 | 24,418 | 26.84 | 66,567 | 73.16 | 84,517 | 92.89 | 22,544 | 24.78 | 61,973 | 68.11 | 6,469 | 7.11 | 1,874 | 2.06 | 4,595 | 5.05 |
| Hybrid ......................... | , | * | * | * | * | * | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | * | , | * | * | * |
| Exotic ....................... | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |
| Goats Aged 2 Years and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Older by Purpose |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 40,954 | 100 | 3,367 | 8.22 | 37,588 | 91.78 | 38,273 | 93.45 | 3150 | 7.69 | 35,123 | 85.76 | 2,681 | 6.55 | 216 | 0.53 | 2,465 | 6.02 |
| Used for Milk | 1,694 | 4.14 |  |  | 1,694 | 4.14 | 1,413 | 3.45 |  |  | 1,413 | 3.45 | 281 | 0.69 |  |  | 281 | 0.69 |
| Used for Meat | 1,646 | 4.02 | 1,494 | 3.65 | 152 | 0.37 | 1,483 | 3.62 | 1386 | 3.38 | * | * | 163 | 0.40 | 108 | 0.26 | * | * |
| Used for Breeding .......... | 37,552 | 91.69 | 1,871 | 4.57 | 35,682 | 87.13 | 35,317 | 86.24 | 1764 | 4.31 | 33,553 | 81.93 | 2,235 | 5.46 | 107 | 0.26 | 2,129 | 5.20 |
| Used for Other .............. | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | - | - | * | * | * | * | * | * | - | - |

FIGURE VII. 3 DISTRIBUTION OF SHEEP AND GOATS BY AGE AND SEX


FIGURE VII. 4 DISTRIBUTION OF SHEEP BY PURPOSE AND SEX AGED 2 AND OLDER


FIGURE VII. 5 DISTRIBUTION OF GOATS BY PURPOSE AND SEX AGED 2 AND OLDER


Pertaining to the age distribution of both the sheep and the goats, the largest portions are in the age group of two years and older ( 46.51 percent and 45 percent, in that order), followed by the young stock under six months for both sheep and goats, that is 28 percent and 30.30 percent, respectively. (See Figure VII.3)

With respect to breed, almost all the sheep and the goats are indigenous ( 99.6 percent and 99.98 percent in that order). The number of hybrid and exotic sheep and goats reported are insignificant.

Summary Table VII. 2 also discloses the numbers and percentages of sheep and goats raised for different purposes in the region. The percentages of both sheep and goats kept for mutton and meat are higher for males. Moreover, the data reveals that female sheep and female goats are primarily kept for breeding purposes. Among the sheep flock two years and older, 14,410 (91.09 percent) are kept for breeding; about 8.14 percent for mutton and an insignificant number of sheep were kept for wool production. Likewise, amid the goats population aged two years and older, goats kept for breeding accounts for about 91.69 percent $(37,552)$ while goats kept for meat accounts for about 4.02 percent only ( See Figure VII. 4 and VII.5).

### 2.3 Horses, Asses, Mules and Camels

Summary Table VII. 3 shows the estimates of horses, asses, mules and camels for the rural and urban areas of the region for private holdings. According to the census result, there are 127 horses, 9,934 asses, and 7,260 camels in Dire Dawa administration. The table as well shows that high proportions of asses and camels are found in the rural areas..

As indicated in the same table, asses are the overwhelming majority in the region compared to the other draught animals. Out of the total asses population in the region,


FIGURE VII. 6 DISTRIBUTION OF HORSES, ASSES, MULES AND CAMELS BY AGE AND SEX


* Note that for camels the age categories are under 4 years and 4 years and older.

FIGURE VII. 7 DISTRIBUTION OF HORSES, ASSES, MULES AND CAMELS BY SEX AND PURPOSE

45.43 are males and the remaining are females. With respect to age distribution, the majorities of the horses and asses are of the age group 3 years and older.

The estimates of these animals (aged 3 years and older) by sex and purpose are also given in Summary Table VII.3. As indicated in the table, among the horses aged three years and older, almost all 98.43 percent were used for transportation. With regard to asses, about 47.21 percent were used for transportation whereas 51.41 percent were used for draught purposes. As to the camels 57 percent of them were used for transportation and 38.34 percent were used for milk. (See also Fig. VII.7)

### 2.4 Poultry

Data on poultry population are collected as part of the livestock census, and the total poultry population in Dire Dawa administration is estimated to be about 47,273. In this report, poultry includes cocks, cockerels, pullets, laying hens, non-laying hens and chicks. Consequently, as shown in Summary Table VII. 4 and Figure VII.8, about 40 percent of the poultry are laying hens $(18,905)$, followed by chicks $(13,000)$. Pullets are estimated to be 4,779 in the administration. Cockerels and cocks are also estimated separately, and are 2,745 and 5,594 , respectively. Rural areas constitute 87.1 percent of the total poultry .

Moreover, average egg-laying period per hen and average number of eggs laid per hen during this period are estimated based on the data collected. Consequently, average egg-laying period per hen is estimated to be about 19 days while average number of eggs laid per hen per egg- laying period is about 11 eggs. Number of eggs laid per period are slightly higher in urban than in rural areas and could possibly attributed to raising better breed in urban areas.

SUMMARY TABLE VII.4: Estimated number of Poultry by Type for Rural and Urban Holdings

| Type of Poultry | Rural and Urban Holdings |  | Rural Holdings |  | Urban Holdings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| All Poultry ..................... | 47,273 | 100 | 41,172 | 87.09 | 6,101 | 12.91 |
| Cocks ........................ | 5,594 | 11.83 | 4,851 | 10.26 | 743 | 1.57 |
| Cockerels ................... | 2,745 | 5.81 | 2,489 | 5.27 | 255 | 0.54 |
| Pullets ....................... | 4,779 | 10.11 | 4,226 | 8.94 | 553 | 1.17 |
| Non-Laying Hens ............. | 2,250 | 4.76 | 2,017 | 4.27 | 233 | 0.49 |
| Chicks . | 13,000 | 27.50 | 10,889 | 23.03 | 2,111 | 4.47 |
| Laying Hens | 18,905 | 39.99 | 16,699 | 35.32 | 2,206 | 4.67 |
| Average Number Days/Clutch... | 19 |  | 19 |  | 19 |  |
| Average Eggs/Hen/Clutch........ | 11 |  | 11 |  | 12 |  |

SUMMARY TABLE VII.5: Estimated number of Beehives by Type for Rural and Urban Holdings

| Type of Beehive | Rural and Urban Holdings |  | Rural Holdings |  | Urban Holdings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| All Beehives .......... | 2,034 | 100 | 1234 | 60.67 | * | * |
| Traditional Beehives .... | 1,240 | 61 | 1234 | 60.67 | * | * |
| Intermediate Beehives .... | * | * | - | - | * | * |
| Modern Beehives ........ |  |  | - |  | - |  |

SUMMARY TABLE VII. 6 : Milk and Honey Production for Rural and Urban Holdings

| Item | Quantity Produced and Frequency |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural and Urban Holdings | Rural Holdings | Urban Holdings |
| Cow Milk |  |  |  |
| Average Daily Milk Producton(Liters/Cow) | 1.77 | 1.702 | 2.978 |
| Average Lactation Period (Months ) ...... | 7 | 8 | 7 |
| Total Milk Production(Liters) ............ | 4,561,678 | + 03 | 417,474 |
| Camel Milk |  |  |  |
| Average Daily Milk Production(Liters/Camel) | $2 . .565$ | 2.558 | 3.83 |
| Average Lactation Period (Months ) ......... | 12 | 12 | 13 |
| Total Milk Production(Liters). | 917274 | 915301 | * |
| Honey Production |  |  |  |
| All Types of Beehives |  |  |  |
| Production (Kilograms)..... | 8655 | 8619 | * |
| Average Frequency (Harvest/Year) ...... |  | 2 | 2 |
| Traditional Beehives |  |  |  |
| Production (Kilograms)... | 8655 | 8619 | * |
| Average Frequency(Harvest./Year)... |  | 2 | 2 |
| Intermediate Beehives |  |  |  |
| Production (Kilograms)............. | - | - | - |
| Average Frequency(Harvest/Year)... |  | - | - |
| Modern Beehives |  |  |  |
| Production (Kilograms).............. | - |  |  |
| Average Frequency(Harvest/Year)... |  | - |  |

FIGURE VII. 8 DISTRIBUTION OF POULTRY BY TYPE


FIGURE VII. 9 DISTRIBUTION OF BEEHIVES BY TYPE


### 2.5 Beehives

Information on beehives was also collected during the livestock census. A beehive is enumerated if and only if it produced honey at least once in the reference period (February 9, 2001 to February 8, 2002). As stated by the Ministry of Agriculture, there are three types of beehives, and these are traditional, intermediate and modern.

Traditional [Fixed comb] Hive is a hollow structure made of cheap materials like clay, straw, bamboo, false banana leaves, barks of tree, logs and animal dung. The bees fill all the available space with honeycombs from the top to down wards. The honeycombs cannot be removed since they are attached to the top and the sides of the hive. The honey can be removed only by removing one wall of the hive and breaking or cutting out the honeycomb (Reihard Fichtl and Admasu, 1994; Gezahegn Taddesse, 1993 E.C.).

Intermediate [Transitional] Hive is a long trough-shaped box with sloping sidewalls covered with bars of a fixed width. The hive consists of a bottom board, two sidewalls and front and back walls. One opening is made in the front wall to serve as flight entrance. The cover of the hive can be made from any material, which gives adequate protection against light, sun, and rain (Gezahegn Taddesse, 1993 E.C.; P.Seegeren et al, 1996).

Modern Hive [Hive with Frames] is a brood (offspring) chamber (box) with a fixed bottom board and flight board. In the bottom board there is a ventilation hole of size $(15 \mathrm{cmx} 30 \mathrm{~cm})$, which can be covered with fine wire mesh or other suitable material. The brood chamber holds ten frames, which are kept separately at the right distance by means of side bar, or nails. Queen excluder (not necessary) placed horizontally on top of the brood chamber (Gezahegn Taddesse, 1993 E.C.; P.Seegeren et al, 1996).

Any type of these beehives that produced honey at least once during the reference period (February 9, 2001 to February 8, 2002) was enumerated. Accordingly, the result of the census revealed that a total of 2,034 hives is estimated to be found in rural and urban areas of Dire Dawa Administration in which 61 percent is traditional beehive.

As indicated in Summary Table VII. 5 , about 60.7 percent of the beehives are reported in rural areas.

## 3. LIVESTOCK PRODUCTS

This section summarizes results on livestock products. The various animal products include milk and milk products, eggs, wool, meat, hides and skins. Although data on such livestock products are not easily obtainable in the Ethiopian traditional agricultural sector, an endeavor was made to collect data on milk and honey productions. The data were collected subjectively from the holders in the sampled households and the results obtained are briefly discussed below.

### 3.1 Milk Production

Estimation of milk production entails three components, namely number of milking cows, number of months milking cows actually milked within the reference period and average milk production per cow per day. Hence, data on these components have been collected and the total milk production in the region is estimated by multiplying these three components. However, two basic concepts about milk production should be mentioned here. "Gross production", which includes whole fresh milk actually milked and milk sucked by young animals and also milk fed to other animals. "Net production" consists of whole milk actually milked and milk fed to other animals but excludes milk sucked by young animals. Therefore, in our case, milk production is estimated based on
the concept "net production", and as indicated in Summary Table VII.6, the estimate of total milk production for rural and urban areas in Dire Dawa Administration during the reference period, is about 4.56 million liters of which 4.14 million liters ( 90.8 percent) is attributed to rural areas. A total of about 417 thousands liters of milk was as well estimated for urban areas.

Data on lactation period and average milk yield per cow per day were also collected. As a result, the average lactation period per cow in the administration is estimated to be about seven months, and average milk yield per cow per day is about 2.34 liters.

### 3.2 Honey Production

To estimate honey production, number of hives, frequency of honey production and honey production per harvest are required. Therefore, these data were collected from the holders within sampled households both in rural and urban areas. As a result, the estimate of total honey production is about 8,655 kilograms of which the greater portion (96.6 percent) is harvested from rural areas. (See Summary Table VII.6).

As can be seen from the census data, honey was harvested twice in a year from traditional hives in rural and urban areas.

## 4 UTILIZATION OF LIVESTOCK PRODUCTS

Data on the utilization of animal products were also collected during the census to assess product usage experience of holders. The products for which utilization data intended to be collected were milk, egg, honey, meat, hides and skins, wool and byproducts such as butter, cheese, and wax. It is commonly accepted that these products are often used for household consumption and/or sold to finance the purchase of basic household commodities such as coffee, salt, cooking oil, sugar, etc. The products are
sometimes used as payments and gifts to others. The census data on the utilization of animal products in the rural and urban areas are presented in Summary Table VII.7. The census result reveals that of the total annual milk production, 53.22 percent was used for household consumption, 42.71 percent was sold, 0.02 percent was used for wages and the rest 4.06 percent was used for other purposes. With respect to the utilization of butter, 83.72 percent was used for household consumption and 13.42 percent was sold. All the total cheese produced was used for household consumption.
Of the total honey produced, about 53.86 percent was sold, about 43.01 percent was used for household consumption, and 3.13 percent was used for other purposes.

Concerning utilization of the eggs produced, 65.84 percent was used for sale while only 17.86 percent of the total egg produced was used for household consumption. Moreover, 16.27 percent was used for other purposes and that could be for hatching. Holders' utilization practices on hides, and skins were also assessed and the results showed that 73.09 and 89.49 percent are used for household consumption, respectively.

## 5. LIVESTOCK VACCINATION, DISEASE, TREATMENT AND DEATH

Diseases have numerous negative impacts on productivity of herds i.e. death of animals, loss of weights, slow down growth, poor fertility performance, decrease in physical power and the likes. There have been many ways of fighting against diseases and among these, vaccinations (preventive measures) and treatments (curative measures) are the major ones. However, no efficient fight against disease or disease prevention is possible if descriptive data on prevalence of diseases, deaths, vaccinations, and treatments are not available. The availability of these data is also very important to set-up strategies that can assist in preventing and controlling diseases, by and large in improving veterinary services of the country. Hence, it was considered desirable to

SUMMARY TABLE VII.7: Livestock Product Utilization - Percentage of Uses for Rural and Urban Holdings

| Type of Product | Rural and Urban Holdings |  |  |  |  | Rural Holdings |  |  |  |  |  | Urban Holdings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Percent of Product Utilized for: |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Percent of Product Utilized for: |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \% \end{array}$ | Percent of Product Utilized for: |  |  |  |
|  |  | Household <br> Consumption | Sale | Wages in Kind | Other |  | Household <br> Consumption | Sale | Wages in <br> Kind | Other |  | Household <br> Consumption | Sale | Wages in <br> Kind | Other |
| Milk | 100 | 53.22 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 42.71 \\ 13.42 \\ 23.36 \\ 14.95 \\ 65.84 \\ 53.86 \\ - \\ - \\ 26.91 \\ 8.68 \end{array}$ | 0.02 | 4.06 | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ - \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 51.88 | 43.9713.77-14.4170.455.1--12.452.47 | 0.02 | 4.132.92-60.026.6616.683.17---1.89 | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ - \\ - \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 73.66 | 23.44.54-57.8518.2916.2326.99--89.4784.45 | r | $\begin{array}{r} 2.94 \\ 1.12 \\ 20.17 \\ 1.45 \\ 11.85 \\ 2.11 \\ \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Butter | 100 | 83.72 |  |  | 2.85 |  | 83.31 |  |  |  |  | 94.34 |  |  |  |
| Cheese | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |
| Beef | 100 | 32.73 |  |  | 43.91 |  | 39.98 |  |  |  |  | 21.98 |  |  |  |
| Mutton/Goat Meat | 100 | 79.11 |  |  | 5.94 |  | 78.92 |  |  |  |  | 80.26 |  |  |  |
| Eggs | 100 | 17.86 |  |  | 16.27 |  | 12.92 |  |  |  |  | 71.61 |  |  |  |
| Honey | 100 | 43.01 |  |  | 3.13 |  | 41.73 |  |  |  |  | 70.9 |  |  |  |
| Bees Wax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wool |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hide | 100 | 73.09 |  |  |  |  | 87.55 |  |  |  |  | 10.53 |  |  |  |
| Skin | 100 | 89.49 |  |  | 1.83 |  | 95.65 |  |  |  |  | 14.42 |  |  |  |

FIGURE VII. 10 DISTRIBUTION OF ANIMALS VACCINATED, AFFLICTED, TREATED AND DIED


Note :- Draught Animals refer to horses, asses and mules.

FIGURE VII. 11 ANIMAL FEED PRACTICES OF HOLDERS

collect information on vaccinations, treatments, diseases, and deaths of animals during the census.

Data on these parameters specifically on number of vaccinated, afflicted, treated and dead animals were therefore collected and the results are shown in Summary Table VII.8. The estimated number of vaccinated animals with in the reference period in rural and urban areas of Dire Dawa Administration were about 37,429. Out of these animals, about 50.12 percent were cattle followed by goats, 32.75 percent. Sheep also accounted for about 15.29 percent.

In the same table, it is indicated that about 16,826 animals were diseased/afflicted by different types of diseases during the reference period and only 1,524 of them were treated. The cattle constituted about 46.33 percent of the total treated animals and 24.41 percent of sheep were treated as well.

According to the census data, greater number of animals was dead due to diseases of which 37.27 percent was goats. In addition, the estimated numbers of dead poultry and cattle are about 2,896 and 2,608 , respectively. The number of sheep estimated dead is also about 2,338 heads. (See Summary Table VII. 8 and Figure VII.10).

## 6. ANIMAL FEED

Data on animal feed practices of holders in both the rural and urban areas of the region were gathered to assess feed utilization experience. For the purpose of this report, animal feeds are classified as green fodder (grazing), crop residue, improved feed, hay, industrial byproducts, and other feeds. Green fodder is simply pasture grasses; crop residue includes harvested byproducts (straw and chaff of cereals and pulses, etc.); improved feed is like alfalfa; hay includes any type of grass, clover etc. cut and dried as

SUMMARY TABLE VII.8: Estimated number of Livestock Vaccinated, Afflicted,Treated, and Died for Rural and Urban Holdings

| Item | Rural and Urban Holdings |  | Rural Holdings |  | Urban Holdings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| Total Vaccinated | 37,429 | 100 | 34087 | 91.07 | 3342 | 8.93 |
| Cattle ......................... | 18,760 | 50.12 | 17488 | 46.72 | 1272 | 3.40 |
| Sheep ....................... | 5723 | 15.29 | 4816 | 12.87 | 907 | 2.42 |
| Goats ........................ | 12257 | 32.75 | 11206 | 29.94 | 1051 | 2.81 |
| Horses,Asses, and Mules ... | 176 | 0.47 | * | * | 68 | 0.18 |
| Camels ....................... | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Poultry .................... | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Total Afflicted ................ | 16,826 | 100 | 14,049 | 83.50 | 2,777 | 16.50 |
| Cattle ................... | 3,988 | 23.70 | 3,597 | 21.38 | 391 | 2.32 |
| Sheep .................... | 2896 | 17.21 | 2400 | 14.26 | 496 | 2.95 |
| Goats | 6207 | 36.89 | 5764 | 34.26 | 443 | 2.63 |
| Horses,Asses, and Mules ... | 203 | 1.21 | 153 | 0.91 | * | * |
| Camels................ | * | * | * | * |  |  |
| Poultry ................. | 2,999 | 17.82 | 1,601 | 9.52 | 1,398 | 8.31 |
| Total Treated ................ | 1,524 | 100 | 920 | 60.37 | 603 | 39.57 |
| Cattle .................... | 706 | 46.33 | 553 | 36.29 | 153 | 10.04 |
| Sheep ................ | 372 | 24.41 | * | * | 231 | 15.16 |
| Goats ........................ | 210 | 13.78 | * | * | 140 | 9.19 |
| Horses,Asses, and Mules ... | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Camels ................... | * | * | * | * |  |  |
| Poultry ................. |  | * |  |  | * | * |
| Total Died | 12,987 | 100 | 10,653 | 82.03 | 2,334 | 17.97 |
| Cattle ................... | 2,608 | 20.08 | 2,333 | 17.96 | 275 | 2.12 |
| Sheep ........................ | 2338 | 18.00 | 2000 | 15.40 | 338 | 2.60 |
| Goats ....................... | 4840 | 37.27 | 4489 | 34.57 | 352 | 2.71 |
| Horses,Asses, and Mules . | 96 | 0.74 | * | * | * | * |
| Camels ..................... | * | * | * | * |  |  |
| Poultry .................... | 2,896 | 22.30 | 1,561 | 12.02 | 1,335 | 10.28 |

SUMMARY Table VII.9: Animal Feed Practices for Rural and Urban Holdings

| Item | Rural and Urban Holdings |  | Rural Holdings |  | Urban Holdings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> Reporting | Percentage <br> That Use: | Number <br> Reporting | Percentage <br> That Use: | Number <br> Reporting | Percentage That Use: |
| Total |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |
| Green Fodder/Grazing... | 17,001 | 69.87 | 14,761 | 72.11 | 2241 | 56.63 |
| Crops Residue ............... | 11,822 | 17.86 | 11,521 | 20.38 | 301 | 2.99 |
| Improved Feed ............... |  | * | * | * | * | * |
| Hay ............................ | 3,046 | 3.86 | 2,133 | 3.19 | 912 | 7.82 |
| By-products ................. | 4,679 | 5.47 | 3,271 | 2.88 | 1409 | 20.79 |
| Others ........................ | 2,669 | 2.87 | 1,475 | 1.39 | 1193 | 11.59 |

fodder; and finally industrial byproducts are like oil cake (rapeseed cake, nueg cake, sunflower cake, etc.), bran, and brewery residue.

According to the information collected on feed usage experience of holders in rural and urban areas of the administration, green fodder or grazing is the major type of feed (about 69.87 percent) followed crops residue, ( 17.86 percent). Moreover, as shown in Summary Table VII. 9 and Figure VII. 115.47 percent of by product, 3.86 percent of hay and 2.87 percent of other type of feed was used.

Summary Table VII. 9 also highlights the number of holders reported each type of feed. According to the data, about 17,001 holders have used green fodder or grazing to feed their animals. Significant number of holders $(11,822)$ both in rural and urban areas also reported that they used to feed crop residues for their animals. Moreover, as shown in Summary Table VII. 9 and Figure VII. 11 about 4,679 and 3,046 holders have reported that they have used byproducts and hay, respectively. Quite a number of holders have also reported other types of feeds.

## STATISTICAL TABLES

TABLE 7.1-TABLE 7.36

TABLE 7-1: NUMBER OF LIVESTOCK BY TYPE OF ANIMAL AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | ALL LIVESTOCK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | CATTLE | SHEEP | GOATS | HORSES | ASSES | MULES | CAMELS | POULTRY | BEEHIVES |
| Rural + Urban | 54,155 | 34,015 | 91,007 | 127 | 9,934 |  | $*$ | 7,260 | 47,273 |
| Rural | 50,712 | 28,950 | 84,517 |  | $-9,600$ |  | $*$ | 7,192 | 41,172 |
| Urban | 3,443 | 5,065 | 6,490 | 127 | 335 |  | $*$ | 69 | 6,101 |$]$

TABLE 7-2: NUMBER OF CATTLE BY AGE AND SEX AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | CATTLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { CATTLE } \end{gathered}$ | MALE |  |  |  |  | FEMALE |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $<6$ Months | $6 \mathrm{Mo}<1 \mathrm{yr}$ | $1-\langle 3$ yrs | $3-<10$ yrs | 10 yrs \&Over | <6Months | $6 \mathrm{Mo}<1 \mathrm{yr}$ | $1-<3$ yrs | $3-<10$ yrs | 10 yrs \&Over |
| Rural + Urban | 54,155 | 4,191 | 2,364 | 4,257 | 7,083 | * | 4,595 | 2,563 | 6,185 | 21,381 | 1,505 |
| Rural | 50,712 | 3,904 | 2,214 | 4,021 | 6,868 | * | 4,239 | 2,338 | 5,767 | 19,934 | 1,403 |
| Urban | 3,443 | 287 | 150 | 235 | 215 | * | 355 | 225 | 418 | 1,447 | 103 |

TABLE 7-3: NUMBER OF SHEEP BY AGE AND SEX AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | SHEEP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { SHEEP } \end{gathered}$ | MALE |  |  |  | FEMALE |  |  |  |
|  |  | <6 Months | $6 \mathrm{Mo}<1 \mathrm{yr}$ | $1-<2 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 2 yrs \&Over | $<6$ Months | $6 \mathrm{Mo}<1 \mathrm{yr}$ | $1-<2 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 2 yrs \&over |
| Rural + Urban | 34,015 | 5,003 | 1,846 | 1,998 | 2,204 | 4,521 | 1,775 | 3,051 | 13,616 |
| Rural | 28,950 | 4,186 | 1,502 | 1,745 | 1,855 | 3,882 | 1,344 | 2,591 | 11,844 |
| Urban | 5,065 | 817 | 343 | 253 | 349 | 639 | 431 | 460 | 1,772 |

TABLE 7-4: NUMBER OF GOATS BY AGE AND SEX AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | GOATS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { GOATS } \end{gathered}$ | MALE |  |  |  | FEMALE |  |  |  |
|  |  | <6 M menh | $6 \mathrm{Mo}<1 \mathrm{lyr}$ | 1-<2 yrs | 2 yrs \&Over | <6 M Mnths | $6 \mathrm{Mo}<1 \mathrm{lyr}$ | $1-<2 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 2 yrs \& Over |
| Rural + Urban | 91,007 | 13,494 | 4,145 | 3,420 | 3,367 | 14,081 | 6,172 | 8,741 | 37,588 |
| Rural | 84,517 | 12,427 | 3,792 | 3,175 | 3,150 | 13,124 | 5,671 | 8,054 | 35,123 |
| Urban | 6,490 | 1,067 | 353 | 245 | 216 | 957 | 501 | 687 | 2,465 |

TABLE 7-5: NUMBER OF HORSES AND ASSES BY AGE AND SEX AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | HORSES AND ASSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HORSES |  |  |  |  | ASSES |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { HORSES } \end{gathered}$ | male |  | Female |  | $\underset{\text { ASSES }}{\substack{\text { ALL }}}$ | male |  | Female |  |
|  |  | <3 Years | 3 Yrs \& more | <3 Years | 3 Yrs \& more |  | $<3$ Years | 3 Yrs \& more | $<3$ Years | 3 Yrs \& more |
| Rural + Urban | 127 | - | 127 | - | - | 9,934 | 969 | 3,544 | 972 | 4,450 |
| Rural | - | - | - | - | - | 9,600 | 933 | 3,369 | 946 | 4,350 |
| Urban | 127 | - | 127 | - | - | 335 | 36 | 174 | 25 | 99 |

TABLE 7-6: NUMBER OF MULES AND CAMELS BY AGE AND SEX AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | MULES |  |  |  |  | CAMELS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALE |  |  | FEMALE |  | All Camels | MALE |  | FEMALE |  |
|  | All Mules | < 3 Years | 3 Years and Older | <3 Years | 3 Years and Older |  | < 4 Years | 4 Years and Older | < 4 Years | 4 Years and Older |
| Rural + Urban | * | * | * | * | * | 7,260 | 1,252 | 3,109 | 789 | 2,111 |
| Rural | * | * | - | * | * | 7,192 | 1,248 | 3,062 | 786 | 2,095 |
| Urban | * | * | * | - | - | 69 | * | * |  |  |

TABLE 7-7: CATTLE AGED 3 - 10 YEARS BY SEX AND PURPOSE AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | CATTLE AGED 3-10 YEARS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | MALE |  |  |  | FEMALE |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Draught | Beef | Breeding | Other | Milk | Draught | Beef | Breeding | Other |
| Rural + Urban | 28,464 | 5,940 | 655 | 423 |  | 18,774 | * |  | 1,711 | 809 |
| Rural | 26,802 | 5,843 | 606 | 361 | * | 17,623 | * |  | 1,460 | 770 |
| Urban | 1,662 | 97 | 48 | 62 | * | 1,150 | * |  | 251 | * |

TABLE 7-8: SHEEP AGED 2 AND OLDER BY SEX AND PURPOSE AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE


TABLE 7-9: GOATS AGED 2 AND OLDER BY SEX AND PURPOSE AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | GOATS AGED 2 AND OLDER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |  |
|  |  | Meat | Breeding | Other | Meat | Milk | Breeding | Other |
| Rural + Urban | 40,954 | 1,494 | 1,871 |  | 152 | 1,694 | 35,682 | * |
| Rural | 38,273 | 1,386 | 1,764 |  | * | 1,413 | 33,553 | * |
| Urban | 2,681 | 108 | 107 |  | * | 281 | 2,129 | - |

TABLE 7-10: HORSES BY SEX AND PURPOSE AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | HORSES AGED 3 AND OLDER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  |  | Transportation | Draught | Other | Transportation | Draught | Other |
| Rural + Urban | 127 | 125 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 127 | 125 |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 7-11: ASSES AGED 3 YEARS AND OVER BY SEX AND PURPOSE AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | ASSES AGED 3 YEARS AND OLDER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TOTAL | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  |  | Transportation | Draught | Other | Transportation | Draught | Other |
| Rural + Urban | 7,994 | 1,393 | 2,147 |  | 2,381 | 1,963 |  |
| Rural | 7,720 | 1,265 | 2,105 |  | 2,307 | 1,944 |  |
| Urban | 274 | 128 | 42 |  | 74 | * |  |

TABLE 7-12: MULES AGED 3 YEARS AND ABOVE BY SEX AND PURPOSE AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | MULES AGED 3 YEARS AND OLDER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TOTAL | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  |  | Transportation | Draught | Other | Transportation | Draught | Other |
| Rural + Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 7-13: CAMELS BY SEX AND PURPOSE AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | CAMELS AGED 4 YEARS AND OLDER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TOTAL | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Meat | Draught | Transporation | Other | Meat | Draught | Milk | Trasporation | Other |
| Rural + Urban | 5,219 |  |  | 2,894 |  |  |  | 2,001 | * |  |
| Rural | 5,157 |  |  | 2,848 |  |  |  | 1,991 | * |  |
| Urban | 62 |  | - | * |  |  |  |  | - - |  |

TABLE 7-14: POULTRY INVENTORY AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of <br> Residence | Total <br> Poultry | Cocks | Cockerels | Pullets | Non-Laying <br> Hens | Chicks | Avg. <br> Laying Hens <br> Number of <br> Clutches | Avg. Egg <br> Production/ <br> Hen/Clutch |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rural + Urban | 47,273 | 5,594 | 2,745 | 4,779 | 2,250 | 13,000 | 18,905 | 19 | 11 |
| Rural | 41,172 | 4,851 | 2,489 | 4,226 | 2,017 | 10,889 | 16,699 | 19 | 11 |
| Urban | 6,101 | 743 | 255 | 553 | 233 | 2,111 | 2,206 | 19 | 12 |

TABLE 7-15: BEEHIVE INVENTORY AND PRODUCTION OF HONEY AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | All Beehives |  | Traditional Beehives |  |  | Intermediate Beehives |  |  | Modern Beehives |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of hives | Total annual honey production | Number of hives |  | Total annual honey production | Number of hives | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Avg. } \\ \text { frequency } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { production } \end{array}\right\|$ | Total annual <br> honey <br> production | Number of hives |  | Total annual honey production |
| Rural + Urban | 2,034 | 8,655 | 1,240 | 2 | 8,655 | * | - |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 1,234 | 8,619 | 1,234 | 2 | 8,619 | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Urban |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | - | - - |  |  |  |

TABLE 7-16: NUMBER OF DAIRY ANIMALS, MILK PRODUCTION AND LACTATION PERIOD AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | Number <br> Of <br> Dairy <br> Cows | Number <br> Of <br> Milking Cows | Average <br> Daily <br> Milk <br> Prod. | Average <br> Lactation <br> Period <br> (Mn) | Total <br> Milk <br> Production Lt) | Number Of <br> Dairy Camels | Number <br> Of <br> Milking <br> Camels | Average <br> Daily <br> Milk <br> Prod. | Average <br> Lactation <br> Period <br> (Mn) | Total <br> Milk <br> Production <br> (Lt) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural + Urban | 18,774 | 14,737 | 1.77 | 7 | 4,561,678 | 2,001 | 1,440 | 2.565 | 12 | 917,274 |
| Rural | 17,623 | 13,849 | 1.702 | 8 | ,144,203 | 1,991 | 1,352 | 2.558 | 12 | 915,301 |
| Urban | 1,150 | 888 | 2.978 | 7 | 417,474 | * |  | 3.83 | 13 |  |

TABLE 7-17: NUMBER OF CATTLE BY SEX AND BREED AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | Total | MALE |  |  | FEMALE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Indigenous | Hybrid | Exotic | Indigenous | Hybrid | Exotic |
| Rural + Urban | 54,155 | 17,873 |  |  | 35,890 | 196 | 143 |
| Rural | 50,712 | 17,015 |  |  | 33,484 | * | * |
| Urban | 3,443 | 858 |  |  | 2,406 | * | 78 |

TABLE 7-18: NUMBER OF SHEEP BY SEX AND BREED AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | Total | MALE |  |  | FEMALE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Indigenous | Hybrid | Exotic | Indigenous | Hybrid | Exotic |
| Rural + Urban | 34,015 | 10,970 |  |  | 22,909 |  |  |
| Rural | 28,950 | 9,216 |  |  | 19,612 |  |  |
| Urban | 5,065 | 1,755 |  |  | 3,297 |  |  |

TABLE 7-19: NUMBER OF GOATS BY SEX AND BREED AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | Total | MALE |  |  | FEMALE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Indigenous | Hybrid | Exotic | Indigenous | Hybrid | Exotic |
| Rural + Urban | 91,007 | 24,418 |  |  | 66,567 |  | - |
| Rural | 84,517 | 22,544 |  |  | 61,973 |  | - |
| Urban | 6,490 | 1,874 |  |  | 4,595 |  | - |

TABLE 7-20: ESTIMATED NUMBER OF LIVESTOCK VACCINATED BY TYPE OF ANIMAL AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | Cattle | Sheep | Goats | Draught <br> Animals | Camels | Poultry |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rural + Urban | 18,760 | 5,723 | 12,257 | 176 | $*$ | $*$ |
| Rural | 17,488 | 4,816 | 11,206 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| Urban | 1,272 | 907 | 1,051 | 68 | $*$ | $*$ |

TABLE 7-21: ESTIMATED NUMBER OF LIVESTOCK AFFLICTED/DISEASED BY TYPE OF ANIMAL AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | Cattle | Sheep | Goats | Draught <br> Animals | Camels | Poultry |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rural + Urban | 3,988 | 2,896 | 6,207 | 203 | $*$ | 2,999 |
| Rural | 3,597 | 2,400 | 5,764 | 153 | $*$ | 1,601 |
| Urban | 391 | 496 | 443 | $*$ | $-1,398$ |  |

TABLE 7-22: ESTIMATED NUMBER OF LIVESTOCK TREATED BY TYPE OF ANIMAL AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | Cattle | Sheep | Goats | Draught <br> Animals | Camels | Poultry |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rural + Urban | 706 | 372 | 210 |  | $*$ | $*$ |
| Rural | 553 | $*$ | $*$ |  | $*$ | $*$ |
| Urban | 153 | 231 | 140 |  | $*$ |  |

TABLE 7-23: ESTIMATED NUMBER OF LIVESTOCK DIED BY TYPE OFANIMAL AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | Cattle | Sheep | Goats | Draught <br> Animals | Camels | Poultry |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rural +Urban | 2,608 | 2,338 | 4,840 | 96 | $*$ | 2,896 |
| Rural | 2,333 | 2,000 | 4,489 | $*$ | $*$ | 1,561 |
| Urban | 275 | 338 | 352 | $*$ | - | 1,335 |

TABLE 7-24: ANIMAL FEED PRACTICES OF PEASNT HOLDERS - PERCENTAGE USED BY TYPE OF FEED AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence |  | Green <br> Fodder | Crop <br> Residue | Improved <br> Feed | Hay | By-Product | Others |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rural + Urban | 100 | 69.87 | 17.86 |  | $*$ | 3.86 | 5.47 |
| Rural | 100 | 72.11 | 20.38 | $*$ | 3.19 | 2.88 | 1.39 |
| Urban | 100 | 56.63 | 2.99 | $*$ | 7.82 | 20.79 | 11.59 |

TABLE 7-25: ANIMAL FEED PRACTICES OF PEASNT HOLDERS - NUMBER OF HOLDERS REPORTING BY TYPE OF FEED AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | Green Fodder | Crop Residue | Improved Feed | Hay | By-Product | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural + Urban | 17,001 | 11,822 |  | 3,046 | 4,679 | 2,669 |
| Rural | 14,761 | 11,521 |  | 2,133 | 3,271 | 1,475 |
| Urban | 2,241 | 301 |  | 912 | 1,409 | 1,193 |

TABLE 7-26: LIVESTOCK PRODUCT UTILIZATION - PERCENTAGE OF USES AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | Total (\%) | PERCENT OF MILK UTILIZED FOR: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Household Consumption | Sale | Wages in Kind | Other |
| Rural + Urban | 100 | 53.22 | 42.71 | 0.02 | 4.06 |
| Rural | 100 | 51.88 | 43.97 | 0.02 | 4.13 |
| Urban | 100 | 73.66 | 23.4 |  | 2.94 |

TABLE 7-27: LIVESTOCK PRODUCT UTILIZATION - PERCENTAGE OF USES AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence |  | PERCENT OF BUTTER UTILIZED FOR: |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total (\%) | Hensehold <br> Consumption | Sale | Wages in <br> Kind | Other |
| Rural + Urban | 100 | 83.72 | 13.42 |  | 2.85 |
| Rural | 100 | 83.31 | 13.77 | 2.92 |  |
| Urban | 100 | 94.34 | 4.54 |  | 1.12 |

TABLE 7-28: LIVESTOCK PRODUCT UTILIZATION - PERCENTAGE OF USES AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | Total (\%) | PERCENT OF CHEESE UTILIZED FOR: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Household Consumption | Sale | Wages in Kind | Other |
| Rural + Urban | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |
| Rural | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |
| Urban | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |

TABLE 7-29: LIVESTOCK PRODUCT UTILIZATION - PERCENTAGE OF USES AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | Total (\%) | PERCENT OF BEEF UTILIZED FOR: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Household Consumption | Sale | Wages in Kind | Other |
| Rural + Urban | 100 | 32.73 | 23.36 |  | 43.91 |
| Rural | 100 | 39.98 | - |  | 60.02 |
| Urban | 100 | 21.98 | 57.85 |  | 20.17 |

TABLE 7-30: LIVESTOCK PRODUCT UTILIZATION - PERCENTAGE OF USES AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | Total (\%) | PERCENT OF GOAT MEAT/MUTTON UTILIZED FOR: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Household Consumption | Sale | Wages in Kind | Other |
| Rural + Urban | 100 | 79.11 | 14.95 |  | 5.94 |
| Rural | 100 | 78.92 | 14.41 |  | 6.66 |
| Urban | 100 | 80.26 | 18.29 |  | 1.45 |

TABLE 7-31: LIVESTOCK PRODUCT UTILIZATION - PERCENTAGE OF USES AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | Total (\%) | PERCENT OF EGGS UTILIZED FOR: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Household Consumption | Sale | Wages in Kind | Other |
| Rural + Urban | 100 | 17.86 | 65.84 | 0.03 | 16.27 |
| Rural | 100 | 12.92 | 70.4 | - | 16.68 |
| Urban | 100 | 71.61 | 16.23 | 0.31 | 11.85 |

TABLE 7-32: LIVESTOCK PRODUCT UTILIZATION - PERCENTAGE OF USES AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | Total (\%) | PERCENT OF HONEY UTILIZED FOR: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Household Consumption | Sale | Wages in Kind | Other |
| Rural + Urban | 100 | 43.01 | 53.86 |  | 3.13 |
| Rural | 100 | 41.73 | 55.1 |  | 3.17 |
| Urban | 100 | 70.9 | 26.99 |  | 2.11 |

TABLE 7-33: LIVESTOCK PRODUCT UTILIZATION - PERCENTAGE OF USES AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | Total (\%) | PERCENT OF WAX UTILIZED FOR: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Household Consumption | Sale | Wages in Kind | Other |
| Rural + Urban |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 7-34: LIVESTOCK PRODUCT UTILIZATION - PERCENTAGE OF USES AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | Total (\%) | PERCENT OF WOOL UTILIZED FOR: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Household Consumption | Sale | Wages in Kind | Other |
| Rural + Urban |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 7-35: LIVESTOCK PRODUCT UTILIZATION - PERCENTAGE OF USES AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence |  | PERCENT OF HIDES UTILIZED FOR: |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Household <br> Consumption | Sale | Wages in <br> Kind | Other |
| Rural + Urban | 100 | 73.09 | 26.91 |  |  |
| Rural | 100 | 87.55 | 12.45 |  |  |
| Urban | 100 | 10.53 | 89.47 |  |  |

TABLE 7-36: LIVESTOCK PRODUCT UTILIZATION - PERCENTAGE OF USES AND PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Place of Residence | Total (\%) | PERCENT OF SKIN UTILIZED FOR: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Household Consumption | Sale | Wages in Kind | Other |
| Rural + Urban | 100 | 89.49 | 8.68 |  | 1.83 |
| Rural | 100 | 95.65 | 2.47 |  | 1.89 |
| Urban | 100 | 14.42 | 84.45 |  | 1.13 |

Annex Tables 7.1-7.10
ESTIMATES, STANDARD ERRORS, AND COEFFICIENT OF
VARIATIONS FOR SELECTED LIVESTOCK VARIABLES
RURAL+ URBAN

Annex Table 7.1- Estimates of Livestock, Standard Error and Coefficient of Variation by Type of Animal

| PLACE OF <br> RESIDENCE | CATTLE |  |  | SHEEP |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV |
| Dire Dawa Admini. | 54,155 | 4,526 | 834,015 | 4,213 | 12 | 91,007 | 9,398 | 10 |  |

cont'd

| PLACE OF | HORSES |  |  | ASSES |  |  | MULES |  |  | CAMELS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RESIDENCE | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV |
| Dire Dawa Admini. | 127 | 38 | 30 | 9,934 | 858 |  | $9 \quad 229$ | 135 | 59 | 7,260 | 1,140 | 16 |

Annex Table 7.2- Estimates of Cattle, Standard Error and Coefficient of Variation by Age group
Male Cattle

| PLACE OF | <6MONTHS |  |  | 6MONTHS -<1YEAR |  |  | 1-<3YEARS |  |  | $3-<10 Y A E R S$ |  |  | 10YAERS \& OLDER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RESIDENCE | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV |
| Dire Dawa Admini. | 4,191 | 380 | 9 | 2,364 | 264 | 11 | 4,257 | 468 | 11 | 7,083 | 743 | 11 | 33 | 34 | 102 |


| PLACE OF | <6MONTHS |  |  | 6MONTHS - 1 YYEAR |  |  | 1-<3YEARS |  |  | $3-<10 Y A E R S$ |  |  | 10YAERS \& OLDER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RESIDENCE | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV |
| Dire Dawa Admini. | 4,595 | 573 | 12 | 2,563 | 365 | 14 | 6,185 | 691 | 11 | 21,381 | 1,885 | 9 | 1,505 | 356 | 24 |

Annex Table 7.3- Estimates of Sheep, Standard Error and Coefficient of Variation by Age group
Male Sheep

| PLACE OF | <6MONTHS |  |  | 6MONTHS<-1YEAR |  |  | 1-<2YEARS |  |  | 2 YEARS \& OLDER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RESIDENCE | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV |
| Dire Dawa Admini. | 5,003 | 750 | 15 | 1,846 | 270 | 15 | 1,998 | 399 | 20 | 2,204 | 459 | 21 |

Female Sheep
Female Sheep

| PLACE OF | $<6$ MONTHS |  | 6 MONTHS<-1YEAR |  | 1 -<2YEARS |  | 2 YEARS \& OLDER |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV |
| Dire Dawa Admini. | 4,521 | 730 | 16 | 1,775 | 319 | 18 | 3,051 | 478 | 16 | 13,616 | 1,744 | 13 |

Annex Table 7.4-Estimates of Goats, Standard Error and Coefficient of Variation by Age group

| PLACE OF | <6MONTHS |  |  | 6MONTHS - <1YEAR |  |  | 1-<2YEARS |  |  | 2 YEARS \& OLDER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RESIDENCE | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV |
| Dire Dawa Admini. | 13,494 | 1,327 | 10 | 4,145 | 591 | 14 | 3,420 | 423 | 12 | 3,367 |  | 17 |

## Female Goats

Female Goats

| PLACE OF <br> RESIDENCE | $<6$ MONTHS |  |  | 6 MONTHS $-<1$ YEAR |  | $1-<2$ YEARS |  | 2 YEARS \& OLDER |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV |
| Dire Dawa Admini. | 14,081 | 1,730 | 12 | 6,172 | 993 | 16 | 8,741 | 1,374 | 16 | 37,588 | 3,805 | 10 |

Annex Table 7.5- Estimates of Horses, Standard Error and Coefficient of Variation by Age group

| PLACE OF | MALE |  |  |  |  |  | FEMALE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RESIDENCE | <3YEARS |  |  | 3YEARS \& OLDER |  |  | <3YEARS |  |  | 3YEARS \& OLDER |  |  |
|  | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV |
| Dire Dawa Admini. | - | - |  | 127 | 38 | 30 |  | - |  |  |  | - |

Annex Table 7.6- Estimates of Asses, Standard Error and Coefficient of Variation by Age group

| PLACE OF RESIDENCE | MALE |  |  |  |  |  | FEMLAE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <3YEARS |  |  | 3YEARS \& OLDER |  |  | <3YEARS |  |  | 3YEARS \& OLDER |  |  |
|  | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV |
| Dire Dawa Admini. | 969 | 148 | 15 | 3,544 | 467 | 13 | 972 | 163 | 17 | 4,450 | 598 | 13 |

Annex Table 7.7- Estimates of Mules, Standard Error and Coefficient of Variation by Age group

| PLACE OF RESIDENCE | MALE |  |  |  |  |  | FEMALE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <3YEARS |  |  | 3YEARS \& OLDER |  |  | <3YEARS |  |  | 3YEARS \& OLDER |  |  |
|  | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV |
| Dire Dawa Admini. | 78 | 46 | 59 | 6 | 6 | 94 | 73 | 45 | 63 | 73 | 45 | 63 |

Annex Table 7.8- Estimates of Camels, Standard Error and Coefficient of Variation by Age group

| PLACE OF <br> RESIDENCE | MALE |  |  |  |  |  | FEMALE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <4YEARS |  |  | 4YEARS \& OLDER |  |  | <4YEARS |  |  | 4YEARS \& OLDER |  |  |
|  | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV |
| Dire Dawa Admini. | 1,252 | 235 | 19 | 3,109 | 746 | 24 | 789 | 161 | 20 | 2,111 | 395 | 19 |

## Annex Table 7.9- Estimates of Poultry, Standard Error and Coefficient of Variation By Type

| PLACE OF <br> RESIDENCE | Total Poultry |  |  | Cocks |  |  | Cockerels |  |  | Pullets |  |  | Non Laying Hens |  |  | Chicks |  |  | Laying Hens |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Numb er | SE | CV | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Numb } \\ \text { er } \end{array}$ | SE | CV | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Numb } \\ \text { er } \end{array}$ | SE | CV | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Numb } \\ \text { er } \end{array}$ | SE | CV | Numb er | SE | CV | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Numb } \\ \text { er } \end{array}$ | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV |
| Dire Dawa Admini. | 47,273 | 3,661 | 8 | 5,594 | 643 | 12 | 2,745 | 471 | 17 | 4,779 | 654 | 14 | 2,250 | 444 | 20 | 13,000 | $\begin{array}{r}1,31 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 10 | 18,905 | 1,526 | 8 |

Annex Table 7.10- Estimates of Beehives, Standard Error and Coefficient of variation by Type

| PLACE OF | ALL BEEHIVES |  |  | TRADITIONAL |  |  | INTERMEDIATE |  |  | MODERN |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RESIDENCE | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV | Number | SE | CV |
| Dire Dawa Admini. | 2,034 | 493 | 24 | 1,240 | 287 | 23 | 794 | 401 | 51. |  |  | - |


[^0]:    1 A Dairy Cow refers to any type of cow that primarily kept for milk and has milked previously and/or milking at the time of enumeration or has never been milked before but expected to be milked in the future or pregnant at the time of enumeration.
    2 A Milking Cow refers to any type of cow that actually milked during the reference period (February 9, 2001 to February 8, 2002).

