# WORLD
# VALUES
# SURVEY
# 2005

## Methodological questionnaire

PLEASE WRITE IN THE NAME OF YOUR COUNTRY: **Republic of Moldova**

## Section 1: the questionnaire

1.Was the WVS questionnaire translated or adapted in any way from the English master questionnaire?

Yes ☒ ⟶ **ANSWER Q2**

No ☐ ⟶ **GO TO Q3**

---

**IF QUESTIONNAIRE TRANSLATED/ADAPTED**

2a. Who carried out the translation of the questionnaire?

A specialist translator ☐

A member of the research team ☐

Other (PLEASE WRITE DETAILS BELOW ) ☒

A specialist translator with a member of the research team

The questionnaire was translated into two languages – Romanian and Russian

b. Was the translated questionnaire then back-translated into English?

Yes ☒

No ☐

c. Was the translated questionnaire pre-tested?

Yes ☒ ⟶ **ANSWER d**

No ☐ ⟶ **GO TO e**

d. **IF'YES'**
How was the questionnaire pre-tested? How many pre-tests were carried out?
*PLEASE WRITE IN:*

The Romanian and Russian version was pre-tested on a sample of 50 respondents in 2 urban and 4 rural localities. For the pre-testing were selected persons of different gender, age and level of education.

e. Were there any questions or concepts that caused particular problems when being translated into your language?

Yes ☒ ⟶ **ANSWER f**

No ☐ ⟶ **GO TO Q3**

**IF 'YES'**
f. Which questions or concepts caused particular problems?
*PLEASE WRITE IN:*
There were some problems with the concept: Civil Service (V141), and the items from the questions V210-V214.

g. How were these problems solved?
*PLEASE WRITE IN:*
Civil Service: the notion is not very clear for the people and was translated in Romanian and Russian as "Public Clerk".
At the question about the views on themselves (V210-V214) we translated the items in such a way so that the meaning remain the same and the subjects understand them. We modified V213 "I see myself as a part of the European Union" into the item "European".

**EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER**

3.  Did you use other questionnaires to make the WVS questionnaire

the German questionnaire ☐

the French questionnaire ☐

Other (PLEASE WRITE IN BELOW ) ☒

The WVS Questionnaire used in Romania in October 2005

and the incomplete WVS Questionnaire used in Russia in 2006

4.  Have any optional WVS questions and/or items been included?

No ☐

Yes (PLEASE WRITE IN QUESTION AND/OR ITEMNUMBERS BELOW ) ☒

V43a

5.  Were country-specific questions, and/or items  i. e. neither compulsery nor optional WVS questions, or questions included in the survey?

No country-specific questions included ☐ ⟶ **GO TO Q7**

Country-specific questions were included ☒ ⟶ **ANSWER Q6**

**IF THERE WERE COUNTRY-SPECIFIC QUESTIONS**

6.Were country specific questions included at the end of the questionnaire, just before the demographics?

Yes ☐ ⟶ **GO TO Q7**

No ☒ ⟶ **GO TO Q6a**

6a  Please indicate the location of the country-specific questions which were not at the end of the questionnaire WRITE IN BELOW

At the question referring to trust in institutions were included the items A1 after V146, A2 and A3 after V147.
A4 – about the view on themselves and how they relate to the world was placed after V213.
And the questions from A5 to A27 were placed before the demographics.

**EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER**

7.  Were all questions asked in the prescribed order?

Yes ☒

No ☐

8. Were all <u>core</u> WVS questions included in your questionnaire (by core we mean all items except those that were optional)?

No - some question(s) from WVS questionnaire **<u>not</u>** included ☐ ⟶ **ANSWER Q9**

No - some demographic question(s) **<u>not</u>** included ☐ ⟶ **ANSWER Q9**

Yes - all questions <u>included</u> ☒ ⟶ **SECTION 2**

---

**IF ANY CORE WVS QUESTIONS WERE <u>NOT</u> INCLUDED**

9. Please write in details of the items and the reasons why they were not included.

*WVS question number or description of question:*

*Reason(s) not included:*

---

4

10. Was your sample designed to be representative of the <u>entire</u> adult population, i. e. 18 years and older, of your country?

Yes $\boxed{X}$ $\longrightarrow$ **GO TO Q12**

No $\boxed{\phantom{X}}$ $\longrightarrow$ **ANSWER Q11**

---

**IF NOT DESIGNED TO BE REPRESENTATIVE**

11. Which groups were excluded from, under-represented or over-represented in your sample design?

Excluded (PLEASE SPECIFY):

Over-represented: (PLEASE SPECIFY):

Under-represented (PLEASE SPECIFY):

---

**EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER**

12. What was the <u>lower</u> age cut-off for your sample?

*Yes* - please write in cut-off $\boxed{X \mid 18}$

No cut-off $\boxed{\phantom{X}}$

13. Was there any <u>upper</u> age cut-off for your sample?

*Yes* - please write in cut-off $\boxed{\phantom{X}\mid\phantom{X}}$

No cut-off $\boxed{X}$

14. What were the different stages in your sampling procedure?
*PLEASE WRITE IN:*

Independent Sociological and Information Service "OPINIA" designed to carry out World Value Survey in Moldova using the multi-staged, stratified and probabilistic sample – representative by the adult population of the republic. For developing of survey sample was used the last census data carried out in 2004 by National Bureau of Statistics.
Sample was distributed for the stratum proportionally with the population volume, so was used approximately the same survey fraction in every stratum. For municipality Chisinau was designed a separate sample.

**At the first stage** of sampling design was calculated the proportion of population living in each region (inclusively urban and rural) in the total of population of the republic. Each region was divided in administrative-territorial units / counties and was calculated the proportion of population living in these units.
Starting with this data general amount of sampling was distributed among the singled out stratum in proportion with the share of the adult population in every strata, inclusively in urban and rural area.
**At the second stage** of the sampling design were selected concrete urban and rural settlements (cities and villages) for the survey.
The cities and villages were selected by random choice in every stratum from the number of all the inhabited locations included in this strata, the probability was proportional to the adult population, inhabiting these locations.

Selected settlements represented on the one hand the structure (typology) of the urban and rural settlements of Moldova and, on the other hand, represented each of the counties singled out at the first stage of sampling design. **At the third stage** in each settlement was selected the necessary number of sampling points (routs). Sampling population was divided in accordance with administrative sectors of the city / village. In each district (sector) randomly was selected the necessary number of sampling points proportionally with population living in these sectors.

**At the third stage** were selected concrete households by using random route method with a statistical step.

**At the fourth stage** were selected respondents for conducting interviews. For the selection of concrete questioned subjects, within the selected households, was used the method of the nearest anniversary. If the respondent was not available at the first visit, interviewers had made three visits in different days and at different times to interview this person. If after three visits, respondent could not be found, interviewer passed to the next household, but is not allowed to interview another person from the same family.

15.   What was the final number of clusters or sampling points?

No clusters ☐

WRITE IN: | 1 | 1 | 8 |

16.   What was the sampled unit you got from office sampling?

Address ☐ ⟶ **ANSWER Q17**

Household |X| ⟶ **ANSWER Q17**

Named individual ☐ ⟶ **GO TO Q18**

Other (PLEASE WRITE IN DETAILS BELOW) ☐ ⟶ **ANSWER Q17**

_____

_____

---

**IF NAMED INDIVIDUAL WAS NOT THE SAMPLED UNIT**

17.   What selection method was used to identify a respondent?
PLEASE SPECIFY:

For the selection of concrete questioned subjects, within the selected households, was used the method of the nearest anniversary.

18. Were there <u>any</u> quota-controls on the type of individual selected to take part in the survey (for example, age or sex controls)?

Yes ☐ ⟶ **ANSWER Q19**

No ☒ ⟶ **GO TO Q20**

---

**IF QUOTA CONTROLS**

19. In what way were quota controls used?
*PLEASE WRITE IN:*

---

**EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER**

20. Was substitution permitted at any stage of your selection process or during fieldwork?

Yes ☐ ⟶ **ANSWER Q21**

No ☒ ⟶ **GO TO Q22**

---

**IF 'YES'**

21. In what way was substitution permitted?
*PLEASE WRITE IN:*

---

**EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER**

22. Did you use any stratification factors when drawing your sample?

Yes ☒ ⟶ **ANSWER Q23**

No ☐ ⟶ **GO TO Q24**

---

**IF STRATIFICATION FACTORS USED**

23. What stratification factors were used, and at what stage(s) of selection?
*PLEASE WRITE IN:*

Regional representation of the Republic of Moldova (North, Center and South);
Administrative-territorial division of the republic;
Residential area: urban / rural;
Size of urban localities (3 types);
Size of rural localities (3 types).

**EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER**

24. All in all, what are the known limitations of your <u>realized</u> sample?

> For example: non-response rate; is there differential coverage of particular groups, either because of sample design or response differences?
>
> % response or % non-response: PLEASE WRITE IN:
> Response rate - 71.2%

25. Please fill in the following details about your sample. If some categories do not apply, please complete to the highest level of detail possible and use the 'other' box to give more information.

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Total number of <u>starting</u> names/addresses | 1 | 4 | 7 | 0 |
| - addresses which could not be traced at all | | | | 0 |
| - addresses established as empty, demolished or containing no private dwellings | | | 1 | 5 |
| - selected respondent too sick/incapacitated to participate | | | 1 | 3 |
| - selected respondent away during survey period | | | | 0 |
| - selected respondent had inadequate understanding of language of survey | | | | 0 |
| - no contact at selected address | | | 9 | 6 |
| - no contact with selected person | | | 3 | 9 |
| - refusal at selected address | | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| - proxy refusal (on behalf of selected respondent) | | | | 0 |
| - personal refusal by selected respondent | | | 4 | 1 |
| - other type of unproductive (please write in full details in the box below) | | | | 0 |
| - full productive interview | 1 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| - partial productive interview | | | | 4 |

**IF 'OTHER' CATEGORY USED**

26. Please specify

27. If interviews were not face-to-face, please specify the way of interviewing:

28. The next group of questions are about interviewers.
    If no interviewers were used at any point in the WVS survey, please go to Q32.

---

**IF INTERVIEWERS USED**

a. Were interviewers paid according to performance (for example,
   according to the number of interviews they obtained)?

   Yes [X]

   No [ ]

b. Which, if any, of these rules governed how an interviewer
   approached an address/household?
   *PLEASE TICK THOSE THAT APPLY*

   Calls must be made at different times of day [ ]

   Calls must be made on different days of week [X]

   Neither of the above [ ]

c. Were interviewers <u>required</u> to make a certain number of re-calls before they stopped approaching an
   address or household?

   Minimum number of re-calls required - please write in number [X] [3]

   No minimum re-call requirement [ ]

d. Were any interviews supervised?

   Yes - please write in approximate proportion [X] [15] %

   No [ ]

e. Were any interviews back-checked?

   Yes - please write in approximate proportion [X] [10] %

   No [ ]

---

**EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER**

29. Please write in the approximate start and end dates of fieldwork.

|  | D | D | M | M | Y | Y |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Start date | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| End date | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 |

30. Please write in the name of the institute which has done the fieldwork.

Independent Sociological and Information Service "OPINIA",

129, 31 August street, Chisinau, MD – 2012, Republic of Moldova

**Section 4: Data**

31. Were any measures of coding reliability employed?

Yes [X] ⟶ **ANSWER Q31a**

No [ ]

31a. Which one? Please specify

1. All questionnaires have been checked in OPINIA with respect to whether they are properly completed.
2. At the question V242 if the codes for profession / occupation were incorrect, they were corrected.

32. Were the data from the questionnaire keyed subsequent to the interview (that is, non-CAPI surveys)?

Yes [ ] ⟶ **ANSWER Q33**

No [X] ⟶ **GO TO Q34**

---

**IF DATA KEYED**

33. Was keying verified?

Yes - please write in approximate level of verification [ ][ ][ ] %

No [ ]

---

**EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER**

34. Were any reliability checks made on derived variables?

Yes [X]

No [ ]

35. Were data checked/edited to ensure that filter instructions were followed correctly?

Yes [X]

No [ ]

36. Were data checked/edited for logic or consistency?

Yes [X]

No [ ]

37. Were data checked/edited to ensure they fell within permitted coding ranges?

Yes [X]

No [ ]

38. Have you answered 'yes' on any or all of questions 32 to 34 above?

Yes [X] ⟶ **ANSWER Q39**

No [ ] ⟶ **GO TO Q40**

**IF DATA CHECKED/EDITED**

39. Were errors corrected individually or automatically (through, for example, a 'forced' edit)?

Yes - individual correction [X]

Yes - automatic correction [ ]

No - not corrected [ ]

---

**EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER**

40. Did you add a weight variable?

Yes [ ] ⟶ **ANSWER Q.41**

No [X] ⟶ **SECTION 5**

---

**IF WEIGHT VARIABLE ADDED**

41. Please describe the weighting or post-stratification strategy used.

## Section 5: Characteristics of National Population

45.     Each WVS member is asked to provide information on known characteristics of its national population, from census or the best available estimates from government surveys or other high-quality data-sources:

- sex distribution of the population
- age distribution of the population
- education (years of schooling) of the population
- other characteristics (PLEASE SPECIFY)

Please specify also the sources which have been used. Please note that the number of characteristics is what is minimal required. You can add as many characteritics as you like, but do not forget to specify them.

In the table below please present the information from census or from other government surveys or other high-quality data (column SOURCE 1)  and the proportions obtained in your data, before and after weighting

|  | Source:  **Census, 2004** (National Bureau of Statistics of Republic of Moldova) | **Unweighted data** |
|---|---|---|
| **Gender** | | |
| Female | 51.9% | 52.7% |
| Male | 48.1% | 47.3% |
| | | |
| **Age Groups** | | |
| 18-29 years | 25.3% | 26.9% |
| 30-39 years | 18.0% | 18.1% |
| 40-49 years | 21.4% | 21.3% |
| 50-59 years | 15.6% | 16.4% |
| 60-69 years | 10.6% | 9.2% |
| 70 and more years | 9.1% | 8.1% |
| | | |
| **Education** | | |
| 1.   Higher education with scientific degree | 12 % | 0.6% |
| 2.   Higher education | | 13.9% |
| 3.   Incomplete higher education | | 3.3% |
| 4.   Secondary specialized education (college) | 11.3% | 8.3% |
| 5.   Secondary-vocational education (vocational schools, professional schools) | | 5.4% |
| 6.   Secondary/lyceum education 7.   (11/12 classes) | 34.7% | 30.3% |
| 8.   Incomplete secondary/gymnasium education (9 classes) | 29.3% | 27.0% |
| 9.   Primary education (4 classes) | 10.2% | 9.4% |
| 10. No education | 2.5% | 1.9% |
| | | |
| **Other characteristics** | | |
| **Nationality** | | |
| Moldovan / Romanian | 78.0% | 79.3% |
| Ukrainian | 8.4% | 8.1% |
| Russian | 5.9% | 6.0% |
| Gagauz | 4.4% | 3.9% |
| Bulgarian | 1.9% | 1.6% |
| Other | 1.7% | 1.1% |
| **Religion:** Orthodox | 93.3% | 92.3% |

## THANK YOU VERY MUCH !

**PLEASE RETURN THE QUESTIONNAIRE TO JAIME DIEZ MEDRANO jdiezmed@terra.es**