

**WORLD
VALUES
SURVEY
2005**

Methodological questionnaire

PLEASE WRITE IN THE NAME OF YOUR COUNTRY: FINLAND

Fieldwork time

28.8-12.10. 2005

Section 1: the questionnaire

1. Was the WVS questionnaire translated or adapted in any way from the English master questionnaire?

Yes → ANSWER Q2

No → GO TO Q3

IF QUESTIONNAIRE TRANSLATED/ADAPTED

2a. Who carried out the translation of the questionnaire?

A specialist translator

A member of the research team

Other (PLEASE WRITE DETAILS BELOW)

b. Was the translated questionnaire then back-translated into English?

Yes

No

c. Was the translated questionnaire pre-tested?

Yes → ANSWER d

No → GO TO e

d. **IF 'YES'**

How was the questionnaire pre-tested? How many pre-tests were carried out?

PLEASE WRITE IN:

Five pretests

e. Were there any questions or concepts that caused particular problems when being translated into your language?

Yes → ANSWER f

No → GO TO Q3

IF 'YES'

f. Which questions or concepts caused particular problems?

PLEASE WRITE IN:

g. How were these problems solved?
PLEASE WRITE IN:

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

3. Did you use other questionnaires to make the WVS questionnaire

the German questionnaire

the French questionnaire

Other (PLEASE WRITE IN BELOW)

Swedish questionnaire

4. Have any optional WVS questions and/or items been included?

No

Yes (PLEASE WRITE IN QUESTION AND/OR ITEMNUMBERS BELOW)

5. Were country-specific questions, and/or items i. e. neither compulsory nor optional WVS questions, or questions included in the survey?

No country-specific questions included —→ **GO TO Q7**

Country-specific questions were included —→ **ANSWER Q6**

IF THERE WERE COUNTRY-SPECIFIC QUESTIONS

6. Were country specific questions included at the end of the questionnaire, just before the demographics?

Yes —→ **GO TO Q7**

No —→ **GO TO Q6a**

6a Please indicate the location of the country-specific questions which were not at the end of the questionnaire
WRITE IN BELOW

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

7. Were all questions asked in the prescribed order?

Yes

No

8. Were all core WVS questions included in your questionnaire (by core we mean all items except those that were optional)?

No - some question(s) from WVS questionnaire not included → **ANSWER Q9**

No - some demographic question(s) not included → **ANSWER Q9**

Yes - all questions included → **SECTION 2**

IF ANY CORE WVS QUESTIONS WERE NOT INCLUDED

9. Please write in details of the items and the reasons why they were not included.

WVS question number or description of question:

Reason(s) not included:

Section 2: Sampling

10. Was your sample designed to be representative of the entire adult population, i. e. 18 years and older, of your country?

Yes → **GO TO Q12**

No → **ANSWER Q11**

IF NOT DESIGNED TO BE REPRESENTATIVE

11. Which groups were excluded from, under-represented or over-represented in your sample design?

Excluded (PLEASE SPECIFY):

Over-represented: (PLEASE SPECIFY):

Under-represented (PLEASE SPECIFY):

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

12. What was the lower age cut-off for your sample?

Yes - please write in cut-off

No cut-off

13. Was there any upper age cut-off for your sample?

Yes - please write in cut-off

No cut-off

14. What were the different stages in your sampling procedure?

PLEASE WRITE IN:

Sample description

A representative sample of 18 years and older population in Finland excluding Åland (Ahvenanmaa). Multi-stage stratified sample, where primary stratification was based on municipality data and secondary stratification was based on zip code areas.

The first stage stratification was based on two dimensions.

1. The North-South dimension grouped the municipalities according to NUTS 2 into three groups: South, Central Finland and Northern Finland

2. Urban-rural dimension was used to form two groups: Urban municipalities and semi-urban and rural municipalities

By linking these two dimensions six primary level strata were obtained. The Capital Region (Helsinki, Espoo, Vantaa, Kauniainen) formed an individual stratum.

One sampling point contained eight interviews. The total number of starting/sampling points was 127. Starting points were distributed among strata in accordance to the population (aged 18+).

The second stage stratification grouped zip code areas within the first stage strata in such a way, that the second stage strata was as homogeneous as possible in terms of socio-economic dimensions. In total there were 16 second stage strata. The mean income in the capital region and proportion of apartment buildings in other regions were used as the stratification variables. At each first stage stratum the number of starting points was distributed as equally as possible amongst the second stage strata.

Capital region was divided into four second stage strata. Southern Finland was divided into four second stage strata. Central Finland was divided into four second stage strata. Northern Finland was divided into four second stage strata.

The number of sampling points inside each stratum was in a direct relation to the number of inhabitants in each stratum. Therefore proportional allocation was used. Inside each stratum, clusters (zip code areas) was picked using PPS-sampling and inside each picked cluster the same sample size (8 interviews). Inside each sampled cluster a starting point was chosen randomly. From randomly drawn address the interviewers moved towards growing address numbers. Within the target households the respondents were chosen by sex and age quotas.

Fieldwork time

28.8-12.10. 2005

15. What was the final number of clusters or sampling points?

No clusters

WRITE IN:

16. What was the sampled unit you got from office sampling?

Address → ANSWER Q17

Household → ANSWER Q17

Named individual → GO TO Q18

Other (PLEASE WRITE IN DETAILS BELOW) → ANSWER Q17

IF NAMED INDIVIDUAL WAS NOT THE SAMPLED UNIT

17. What selection method was used to identify a respondent?
PLEASE SPECIFY:

From every target household such a person is selected as meets the requirements for sex and age criteria instructed.

18. Were there any quota-controls on the type of individual selected to take part in the survey (for example, age or sex controls)?

Yes → ANSWER Q19

No → GO TO Q20

IF QUOTA CONTROLS

19. In what way were quota controls used?
PLEASE WRITE IN:

Age and sex

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

20. Was substitution permitted at any stage of your selection process or during fieldwork?

Yes → ANSWER Q21

No → GO TO Q22

IF 'YES'

21. In what way was substitution permitted?
PLEASE WRITE IN:

If refusals some other person in other household who fits to the quotas substitute a person who refuse

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

22. Did you use any stratification factors when drawing your sample?

Yes → ANSWER Q23

No → GO TO Q24

IF STRATIFICATION FACTORS USED

23. What stratification factors were used, and at what stage(s) of selection?
PLEASE WRITE IN:

See question 14.

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

24. All in all, what are the known limitations of your realized sample?

For example: non-response rate; is there differential coverage of particular groups, either because of sample design or response differences?

% response or % non-response: PLEASE WRITE IN:

Non-response rate due to quotas

25. Please fill in the following details about your sample. If some categories do not apply, please complete to the highest level of detail possible and use the 'other' box to give more information.

Total number of <u>starting</u> names/addresses	<input type="text"/>						
- addresses which could not be traced at all	<input type="text"/>						
- addresses established as empty, demolished or containing no private dwellings	<input type="text"/>						
- selected respondent too sick/incapacitated to participate	<input type="text"/>						
- selected respondent away during survey period	<input type="text"/>						
- selected respondent had inadequate understanding of language of survey	<input type="text"/>						
- no contact at selected address	<input type="text"/>						
- no contact with selected person	<input type="text"/>						
- refusal at selected address	<input type="text"/>						
- proxy refusal (on behalf of selected respondent)	<input type="text"/>						
- personal refusal by selected respondent	<input type="text"/>						
- other type of unproductive (please write in full details in the box below)	<input type="text"/>						
- full productive interview	<input type="text"/>						
- partial productive interview	<input type="text"/>						

IF 'OTHER' CATEGORY USED

26. Please specify

Section 3: Fieldwork

27. If interviews were not face-to-face, please specify the way of interviewing:

28. The next group of questions are about interviewers.
If no interviewers were used at any point in the WVS survey, please go to Q32.

IF INTERVIEWERS USED

a. Were interviewers paid according to performance (for example, according to the number of interviews they obtained)?

Yes

No

b. Which, if any, of these rules governed how an interviewer approached an address/household?
PLEASE TICK THOSE THAT APPLY

Calls must be made at different times of day

Calls must be made on different days of week

Neither of the above

c. Were interviewers required to make a certain number of re-calls before they stopped approaching an address or household?

Minimum number of re-calls required - please write in number

No minimum re-call requirement

d. Were any interviews supervised?

Yes - please write in approximate proportion 3 %

No

e. Were any interviews back-checked?

Yes - please write in approximate proportion 3 %

No

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

29. Please write in the approximate start and end dates of fieldwork.

D D M M Y Y

Start date

2	8	0	8	0	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

End date

1	2	1	0	0	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

30. Please write in the name of the institute which has done the fieldwork.

TNS Gallup Oy

Section 4: Data

31. Were any measures of coding reliability employed?

Yes → **ANSWER Q31a**
No

31a. Which one? Please specify

32. Were the data from the questionnaire keyed subsequent to the interview (that is, non-CAPI surveys)?

Yes → **ANSWER Q33**
No → **GO TO Q34**

IF DATA KEYED

33. Was keying verified?

Yes - please write in approximate level of verification %
No

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

34. Were any reliability checks made on derived variables?

Yes
No

35. Were data checked/edited to ensure that filter instructions were followed correctly?

Yes
No

36. Were data checked/edited for logic or consistency?

Yes
No

37. Were data checked/edited to ensure they fell within permitted coding ranges?

Yes
No

38. Have you answered 'yes' on any or all of questions 32 to 34 above?

Yes → **ANSWER Q39**
No → **GO TO Q40**

IF DATA CHECKED/EDITED

39. Were errors corrected individually or automatically (through, for example, a 'forced' edit)?

Yes - individual correction

Yes - automatic correction

No - not corrected

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

40. Did you add a weight variable?

Yes → **ANSWER Q.41**

No → **SECTION 5**

IF WEIGHT VARIABLE ADDED

41. Please describe the weighting or post-stratification strategy used.

Men 48%
Female 52%

18-24, 10%
25-34, 17%
35-49, 30%
50-64, 25%
65-, 18%

Uusimaa 28%
Etelä-Suomi 22%
Itä-Suomi 13%
Länsi-Suomi 25%
Pohjois-Suomi 12%

Pääkaupunkiseutu, 18%
Kaupunkimainen kunta, 43%
Taajaan asuttu kunta, 16%
Maaseutumainen kunta, 23%