

***WORLD
VALUES
SURVEY
2005***

Methodological questionnaire

PLEASE WRITE IN THE NAME OF YOUR COUNTRY: CYPRUS

Section 1: the questionnaire

1. Was the WVS questionnaire translated or adapted in any way from the English master questionnaire?

Yes ☒ → **ANSWER Q2**

No ☐ → **GO TO Q3**

IF QUESTIONNAIRE TRANSLATED/ADAPTED

2a. Who carried out the translation of the questionnaire?

A specialist translator ☐

A member of the research team ☒

Other (PLEASE WRITE DETAILS BELOW) ☐

b. Was the translated questionnaire then back-translated into English?

Yes ☒

No ☐

c. Was the translated questionnaire pre-tested?

Yes ☒ → **ANSWER d**

No ☐ → **GO TO e**

d. **IF 'YES'**

How was the questionnaire pre-tested? How many pre-tests were carried out?

PLEASE WRITE IN: 50 surveys were tested for the Greek and Turkish translations, 25 each, of the translated questionnaires.

e. Were there any questions or concepts that caused particular problems when being translated into your language?

Yes ☐ → **ANSWER f**

No ☒ → **GO TO Q3**

IF 'YES'

f. Which questions or concepts caused particular problems?

PLEASE WRITE IN:

- g. How were these problems solved?
PLEASE WRITE IN:

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

3. Did you use other questionnaires to make the WVS questionnaire

the German questionnaire ☐

the French questionnaire ☐

Other (PLEASE WRITE IN BELOW) ☐

4. Have any optional WVS questions and/or items been included?

No ☒

Yes (PLEASE WRITE IN QUESTION AND/OR ITEMNUMBERS BELOW) ☐

5. Were country-specific questions, and/or items i. e. neither compulsory nor optional WVS questions, or questions included in the survey?

No country-specific questions included ☒ —→ **GO TO Q7**

Country-specific questions were included ☐ —→ **ANSWER Q6**

IF THERE WERE COUNTRY-SPECIFIC QUESTIONS

6. Were country specific questions included at the end of the questionnaire, just before the demographics?

Yes ☐ —→ **GO TO Q7**

No ☐ —→ **GO TO Q6a**

6a Please indicate the location of the country-specific questions which were not at the end of the questionnaire
WRITE IN BELOW

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

7. Were all questions asked in the prescribed order?

Yes ☒

No ☐

8. Were all core WVS questions included in your questionnaire (by core we mean all items except those that were optional)?

No - some question(s) from WVS questionnaire not included ☐ → **ANSWER Q9**

No - some demographic question(s) not included ☐ → **ANSWER Q9**

Yes - all questions included ☒ → **SECTION 2**

IF ANY CORE WVS QUESTIONS WERE NOT INCLUDED

9. Please write in details of the items and the reasons why they were not included.

WVS question number or description of question:

Reason(s) not included:

Section 2: Sampling

10. Was your sample designed to be representative of the entire adult population, i. e. 18 years and older, of your country?

Yes ☒ → **GO TO Q12**
No ☐ → **ANSWER Q11**

IF NOT DESIGNED TO BE REPRESENTATIVE

11. Which groups were excluded from, under-represented or over-represented in your sample design?

Excluded (PLEASE SPECIFY):

Over-represented: (PLEASE SPECIFY):

Under-represented (PLEASE SPECIFY):

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

12. What was the lower age cut-off for your sample?

Yes - please write in cut-off
No cut-off ☒

13. Was there any upper age cut-off for your sample?

Yes - please write in cut-off
No cut-off ☒

14. What were the different stages in your sampling procedure?

PLEASE WRITE IN:

The samples included individuals aged from 18 to 70+ years old. The samples chosen were based on a 95% confidence interval and a sampling error of $\pm 4.0\%$. The response rate was 95% - higher than our expected rate of 85%.

15. What was the final number of clusters or sampling points?

No clusters ☒

WRITE IN:

16. What was the sampled unit you got from office sampling?

Address ☒ → **ANSWER Q17**

Household ☐ → **ANSWER Q17**

Named individual ☐ → **GO TO Q18**

Other (PLEASE WRITE IN DETAILS BELOW) ☐ → **ANSWER Q17**

IF NAMED INDIVIDUAL WAS NOT THE SAMPLED UNIT

17. What selection method was used to identify a respondent?
PLEASE SPECIFY:

The general population was divided into subsets, or strata, according to gender, age and place of residence covering all districts of the north and south of Cyprus. After stratifying the population, we selected the samples randomly within the strata.

18. Were there any quota-controls on the type of individual selected to take part in the survey (for example, age or sex controls)?

Yes ☐ → **ANSWER Q19**
No ☒ → **GO TO Q20**

IF QUOTA CONTROLS

19. In what way were quota controls used?
PLEASE WRITE IN:

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

20. Was substitution permitted at any stage of your selection process or during fieldwork?

Yes ☐ → **ANSWER Q21**
No ☒ → **GO TO Q22**

IF 'YES'

21. In what way was substitution permitted?
PLEASE WRITE IN:

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

22. Did you use any stratification factors when drawing your sample?

Yes ☒ → **ANSWER Q23**
No ☐ → **GO TO Q24**

IF STRATIFICATION FACTORS USED

23. What stratification factors were used, and at what stage(s) of selection?
PLEASE WRITE IN:

The general population was divided into subsets, or strata, according to gender, age and place of residence covering all districts of the north and south of Cyprus. After stratifying the population, we selected the samples randomly within the strata.

--

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

24. All in all, what are the known limitations of your realized sample?

For example: non-response rate; is there differential coverage of particular groups, either because of sample design or response differences?

% response or % non-response: PLEASE WRITE IN: we did not experience any problems in this regard. The response rate was around 95 percent – much higher than our expected rate of 80-85percent.

25. Please fill in the following details about your sample. If some categories do not apply, please complete to the highest level of detail possible and use the 'other' box to give more information.

Total number of <u>starting</u> names/addresses	1	2	6	5
- addresses which could not be traced at all				0
- addresses established as empty, demolished or containing no private dwellings				0
- selected respondent too sick/incapacitated to participate				0
- selected respondent away during survey period				0
- selected respondent had inadequate understanding of language of survey				0
- no contact at selected address				0
- no contact with selected person				0
- refusal at selected address			6	5
- proxy refusal (on behalf of selected respondent)				0
- personal refusal by selected respondent				0
- other type of unproductive (please write in full details in the box below)				0
- full productive interview	1	0	5	0
- partial productive interview		1	5	0

IF 'OTHER' CATEGORY USED

26. Please specify

Section 3: Fieldwork

27. If interviews were not face-to-face, please specify the way of interviewing:

28. The next group of questions are about interviewers.

If no interviewers were used at any point in the WVS survey, please go to Q32.

IF INTERVIEWERS USED

- a. Were interviewers paid according to performance (for example, according to the number of interviews they obtained)?

Yes ☒

No ☐

- b. Which, if any, of these rules governed how an interviewer approached an address/household?

PLEASE TICK THOSE THAT APPLY

Calls must be made at different times of day ☒

Calls must be made on different days of week ☒

Neither of the above ☐

- c. Were interviewers required to make a certain number of re-calls before they stopped approaching an address or household?

Minimum number of re-calls required - please write in number

No minimum re-call requirement ☒

- d. Were any interviews supervised?

Yes - please write in approximate proportion %

No ☐

- e. Were any interviews back-checked?

Yes - please write in approximate proportion %

No ☐

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

29. Please write in the approximate start and end dates of fieldwork.

	D	D	M	M	Y	Y
Start date	0	6	0	2	0	6
End date	3	1	0	3	0	6

30. Please write in the name of the institute which has done the fieldwork.

KADEM in North Cyprus and INTERCOLLEGE in South Cyprus

Section 4: Data

31. Were any measures of coding reliability employed?

Yes ☐ → **ANSWER Q31a**
No ☒

31a. Which one? Please specify

32. Were the data from the questionnaire keyed subsequent to the interview (that is, non-CAPI surveys)?

Yes ☐ → **ANSWER Q33**
No ☒ → **GO TO Q34**

IF DATA KEYED

33. Was keying verified?

Yes - please write in approximate level of verification %
No ☐

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

34. Were any reliability checks made on derived variables?

Yes ☐
No ☒

35. Were data checked/edited to ensure that filter instructions were followed correctly?

Yes ☒
No ☐

36. Were data checked/edited for logic or consistency?

Yes ☒
No ☐

37. Were data checked/edited to ensure they fell within permitted coding ranges?

Yes ☒
No ☐

38. Have you answered 'yes' on any or all of questions 32 to 34 above?

Yes ☐ → **ANSWER Q39**
No ☒ → **GO TO Q40**

IF DATA CHECKED/EDITED

39. Were errors corrected individually or automatically (through, for example, a 'forced' edit)?

Yes - individual correction ☒

Yes - automatic correction ☐

No - not corrected ☐

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

40. Did you add a weight variable?

Yes ☒ → **ANSWER Q.41**

No ☐ → **SECTION 5**

IF WEIGHT VARIABLE ADDED

41. Please describe the weighting or post-stratification strategy used.

In order to correct between the Greek and Turkish Cypriot respondents we employed a weight to reflect the population ratio of the two communities as: We had 550 valid cases for the Greek Cypriot community and 500 valid cases for the Turkish Cypriot community. These ratios do not represent the distribution of the adult population for the Island (between the two communities). The ratio is 74.7 percent Greek Cypriot and 25.3 percent Turkish Cypriot. Therefore, in order to not lose the 1050 cases after applying our weights, the Greek side's weight needs to be multiplied by 1.4255 and the TC side's by .531955. Those numbers are obtained by setting the Greek side's total n of 550 over the total sample of 1050, then equalizing that ratio (about .52) to the Greek side's .747 of the population, that is $.747/.52=1.4255$. Likewise, the TC's n of 500 over 1050 (.4769), then set that ratio equalized to $.253312$ (TC proportion of total population) $.253312/.4769=.5312$

PLEASE NOTE. Analysts can treat the Greek and Turkish communities as separate groups if needed. Cases 1-500 are in Turkish language representing the Turkish Cypriot community and cases 501-1050 are in Greek representing the Greek Cypriot community. IF they treat the cases as two separate groups, they need to set weights to 1.00 for both communities.

Section 5: Characteristics of National Population

45. Each WVS member is asked to provide information on known characteristics of its national population, from census or the best available estimates from government surveys or other high-quality data-sources:

- sex distribution of the population
- age distribution of the population
- education (years of schooling) of the population
- other characteristics (PLEASE SPECIFY)

Please specify also the sources which have been used. Please note that the number of characteristics is what is minimal required. You can add as many characteristics as you like, but do not forget to specify them.

In the table below please present the information from census or from other government surveys or other high-quality data (column SOURCE 1) and the proportions obtained in your data, before and after weighting

		Source:	Unweighted data	Weighted data
		National census (2006) for both communities. Statistical Service of the Republic of Cyprus for the Greek community and The State Planning Organization data base for the Turkish Cypriot community		
Gender				
Female		%50.8	%50.8	
Male		%49.2	%49.2	
Age Groups			Greek Cypriots	Turkish Cypriots
15-19		7.2	7.0	7.4
20-24		8.3	8.3	8.3
25-29		8.4	8.2	8.6
30-34		7.5	7.4	7.6
35-39		7.0	6.9	7.1
40-44		7.3	7.3	7.3
45-49		7.2	7.3	7.1
50-54		6.3	6.5	6.1
55-59		5.9	5.9	5.8
60-64		4.7	4.9	4.6
65-69		4.0	4.2	3.8
70-74		3.1	3.2	3.0
75-79		2.4	2.4	2.3
80-84		1.5	1.5	1.4
85-89		0.8	0.9	0.7
90+		0.4	0.5	0.3
		%	%	
		%	%	
		%	%	
		%	%	
		%	%	
		%	%	
		%	%	
		%	%	
Years/Schooling Groups				
Primary		%100	%100	100
Secondary		%99	%99	99

Tertiary	%67	%67	65
	%	%	
	%	%	
	%	%	
	%	%	
	%	%	
	%	%	
	%	%	
	%	%	
	%	%	
Other characteristics			
Please specify			
	%	%	
	%	%	
	%	%	
	%	%	

THANK YOU VERY MUCH !

PLEASE RETURN THE QUESTIONNAIRE TO JAIME DIEZ MEDRANO jdiezmed@terra.es