

***WORLD
VALUES
SURVEY
2010***

Methodological questionnaire

PLEASE WRITE IN THE NAME OF YOUR COUNTRY: The Netherlands

Section 1: the questionnaire

1. Was the WVS questionnaire translated or adapted in any way from the English master questionnaire?

Yes

ANSWER Q2

No

GO TO Q3

IF QUESTIONNAIRE TRANSLATED/ADAPTED

2a. Who carried out the translation of the questionnaire?

A specialist translator

A member of the research team

Other (PLEASE WRITE DETAILS BELOW)

b. Was the translated questionnaire then back-translated into English?

Yes

No

c. Was the translated questionnaire pre-tested?

Yes

ANSWER d

No

GO TO e

d. IF 'YES'

How was the questionnaire pre-tested? How many pre-tests were carried out?

PLEASE WRITE IN:

e. Were there any questions or concepts that caused particular problems when being translated into your language?

Yes

ANSWER f

No

GO TO Q3

IF 'YES'

f. Which questions or concepts caused particular problems?

PLEASE WRITE IN:

Comment [1]: We received a Dutch version from the WVS team members, thus it seems that the person(s) responsible for the Dutch version should complete this part of the questionnaire.
When checking the data it was clear that there were many respondents who answered "other namely..." who actually meant "Caucasian white". This answer category was not clear to everyone.
See comment 2: the open answers regarding "Caucasian white" were recoded into the correct answer category.
See comment 1.
I filled out the items I am aware of, but there may be other items?
Again, not to my knowledge, but this should be verified by the WVS team responsible for the Dutch translation.
See comment 1.
This category is added, because I think that the question does not apply to CAWI interviewing.

Comment [2]: We received a Dutch version from the WVS team members, thus it seems that the person(s) responsible for the Dutch version should complete this part of the questionnaire.
When checking the data it was clear that there were many respondents who answered "other namely..." who actually meant "Caucasian white". This answer category was not clear to everyone.
See comment 2: the open answers regarding "Caucasian white" were recoded into the correct answer category.
See comment 1.
I filled out the items I am aware of, but there may be other items?
Again, not to my knowledge, but this should be verified by the WVS team responsible for the Dutch translation.
See comment 1.
This category is added, because I think that the question does not apply to CAWI interviewing.

g. How were these problems solved?
PLEASE WRITE IN:

Comment [3]: We received a Dutch version from the WVS team members, thus it seems that the person(s) responsible for the Dutch version should complete this part of the questionnaire.
When checking the data it was clear that there were many respondents who answered "other namely..." who actually meant "Caucasian white". This answer category was not clear to everyone.
See comment 2: the open answers regarding "Caucasian white" were recoded into the correct answer category.
See comment 1.
I filled out the items I am aware of, but there may be other items?
Again, not to my knowledge, but this should be verified by the WVS team responsible for the Dutch translation.
See comment 1.
This category is added, because I think that the question does not apply to CAWI interviewing. ... [1]

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

3. Did you use other questionnaires to make the WVS questionnaire

the German questionnaire

the French questionnaire

Other (PLEASE WRITE IN BELOW)

Comment [4]: We received a Dutch version from the WVS team members, thus it seems that the person(s) responsible for the Dutch version should complete this part of the questionnaire.
When checking the data it was clear that there were many respondents who answered "other namely..." who actually meant "Caucasian white". This answer category was not clear to everyone.
See comment 2: the open answers regarding "Caucasian white" were recoded into the correct answer category.
See comment 1.
I filled out the items I am aware of, but there may be other items?
Again, not to my knowledge, but this should be verified by the WVS team responsible for the Dutch translation ... [2]

4. Have any optional WVS questions and/or items been included?

No

Yes (PLEASE WRITE IN QUESTION AND/OR ITEMNUMBERS BELOW)

nd54a, nd54b, nd54c, nd54d; nd58b, v228dk, v265

Comment [5]: We received a Dutch version from the WVS team members, thus it seems that the person(s) responsible for the Dutch version should complete this part of the questionnaire.
When checking the data it was clear that there were many respondents who answered "other namely..." who actually meant "Caucasian white". This answer category was not clear to everyone.
See comment 2: the open answers regarding "Caucasian white" were recoded into the correct answer category.
See comment 1.
I filled out the items I am aware of, but there may be other items?
Again, not to my knowledge, but this should be verified by the WVS team responsible for the Dutch translation ... [3]

5. Were country-specific questions, and/or items i. e. neither compulsory nor optional WVS questions, or questions included in the survey?

No country-specific questions included

GO TO Q7

Country-specific questions were included

ANSWER Q6

IF THERE WERE COUNTRY-SPECIFIC QUESTIONS

6. Were country specific questions included at the end of the questionnaire, just before the demographics?

Yes

GO TO Q7

Comment [6]: We received a Dutch version from the WVS team members, thus it seems that the person(s) responsible for the Dutch version should complete this part of the questionnaire.
When checking the data it was clear that there were many respondents who answered "other namely..." who actually meant "Caucasian white". This answer category was not clear to everyone.
See comment 2: the open answers regarding "Caucasian white" were recoded into the correct answer category.
See comment 1.
I filled out the items I am aware of, but there may be other items?
Again, not to my knowledge, but this should be verified by the WVS team responsible for the Dutch translation ... [4]

No

GO TO Q6a

6a Please indicate the location of the country-specific questions which were not at the end of the questionnaire
WRITE IN BELOW

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

7. Were all questions asked in the prescribed order?

Yes

No

8. Were all core WVS questions included in your questionnaire (by core we mean all items except those that were optional)?

No - some question(s) from WVS questionnaire not included

ANSWER Q9

No - some demographic question(s) not included

ANSWER Q9

Yes - all questions included

SECTION 2

Comment [7]: We received a Dutch version from the WVS team members, thus it seems that the person(s) responsible for the Dutch version should complete this part of the questionnaire.
When checking the data it was clear that there were many respondents who answered "other namely..." who actually meant "Caucasian white". This answer category was not clear to everyone.
See comment 2: the open answers regarding "Caucasian white" were recoded into the correct answer category.
See comment 1.
I filled out the items I am aware of, but there may be other items?
Again, not to my knowledge, but this should be verified by the WVS team responsible for the Dutch translation.
See comment 1.
This category is added, because I think that the question does not apply to CAWI interviewing.

IF ANY CORE WVS QUESTIONS WERE NOT INCLUDED

9. Please write in details of the items and the reasons why they were not included.

WVS question number or description of question:

v240, v241, v242, v243, v244, v253, v255, v256, v257

Reason(s) not included:

We did not need to ask these questions, because these variables were already known for our respondents. They are in the standard set of background variables of the LISS panel and were merged into the dataset of this study.
Two variables could be derived from existing background variables of the LISS panel (v253, v256).

Section 2: Sampling

10. Was your sample designed to be representative of the entire adult population, i. e. 18 years and older, of your country?

Yes **GO TO Q12**
No **ANSWER Q11**

IF NOT DESIGNED TO BE REPRESENTATIVE

11. Which groups were excluded from, under-represented or over-represented in your sample design?

Excluded (PLEASE SPECIFY):

Over-represented: (PLEASE SPECIFY):

Under-represented (PLEASE SPECIFY):

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

12. What was the lower age cut-off for your sample?

Yes - please write in cut-off

No cut-off

13. Was there any upper age cut-off for your sample?

Yes - please write in cut-off

No cut-off

14. What were the different stages in your sampling procedure?

PLEASE WRITE IN:

The sample frame of the LISS panel is the nationwide address frame of Statistics Netherlands. In co-operation with Statistics Netherlands, a simple random sample was drawn from this frame. Then, all members of the households aged 16 years and older at the addresses in the sample are asked to participate in the panel. For more information, see: http://www.liissdata.nl/assets/uploaded/Sample_and_Recruitment.pdf

For the selection of the world values questionnaire in the LISS panel, a random selection of 1 person aged 18 or older per participating panel household was made. Next, an additional sample was added, consisting of all immigrants not included in the primary random selection.

15. What was the final number of clusters or sampling points?

No clusters

WRITE IN:

16. What was the sampled unit you got from office sampling?

Address

ANSWER Q17

Household

ANSWER Q17

Named individual

GO TO Q18

Other (PLEASE WRITE IN DETAILS BELOW)

ANSWER Q17

The sample unit on which the panel was built, delivered from the Statistical office, was address. The sample unit selected for this specific study from the existing panel was individual. For more information, see: http://www.lissdata.nl/assets/uploaded/Sample_and_Recruitment.pdf

IF NAMED INDIVIDUAL WAS NOT THE SAMPLED UNIT

17. What selection method was used to identify a respondent?
PLEASE SPECIFY:

We registered all persons living on the address and randomly selected one.

18. Were there any quota-controls on the type of individual selected to take part in the survey (for example, age or sex controls)?

Yes

ANSWER Q19

No

GO TO Q20

IF QUOTA CONTROLS

19. In what way were quota controls used?
PLEASE WRITE IN:

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

20. Was substitution permitted at any stage of your selection process or during fieldwork?

Yes

ANSWER Q21

No

GO TO Q22

IF 'YES'

21. In what way was substitution permitted?
PLEASE WRITE IN:

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

22. Did you use any stratification factors when drawing your sample?

Yes

ANSWER Q23

No


GO TO Q24

IF STRATIFICATION FACTORS USED

23. What stratification factors were used, and at what stage(s) of selection?
PLEASE WRITE IN:

The major randomly selected sample was supplemented with a small stratified selection of immigrants (at the secondary stage).

--



EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

24. All in all, what are the known limitations of your realized sample?

For example: non-response rate; is there differential coverage of particular groups, either because of sample design or response differences?

% response or % non-response: PLEASE WRITE IN:

Selected number of household members:	2,479 (100 %)	
Non-Response:	577 (23.3 %)	
Response:	1,902 (76.7 %)	
Complete:		1,884 (76.0%)
Incomplete:		18 (.7%)

For more information on coverage problems due to non-response investigated in the LISS panel, see: https://www.lisspanel.nl/assets/uploaded/representativeness_LISS_panel.pdf

25. Please fill in the following details about your sample. If some categories do not apply, please complete to the highest level of detail possible and use the 'other' box to give more information.

Total number of starting names/addresses

- addresses which could not be traced at all
- addresses established as empty, demolished or containing no private dwellings
- selected respondent too sick/incapacitated to participate
- selected respondent away during survey period
- selected respondent had inadequate understanding of language of survey
- no contact at selected address
- no contact with selected person
- refusal at selected address
- proxy refusal (on behalf of selected respondent)
- personal refusal by selected respondent
- other type of unproductive (please write in full details in the box below)
- full productive interview
- partial productive interview

IF 'OTHER' CATEGORY USED

26. Please specify

The questionnaire was administered online, in an existing online panel, thus above categories do not apply. Additional information on the main recruitment of the LISS panel can be delivered upon request, or see:
http://www.lissdata.nl/assets/uploaded/Sample_and_Recruitment.pdf

Section 3: Fieldwork

27. If interviews were not face-to-face, please specify the way of interviewing: CAWI

28. The next group of questions are about interviewers.
If no interviewers were used at any point in the WVS survey, please go to **Q32**.

IF INTERVIEWERS USED

a. Were interviewers paid according to performance (for example, according to the number of interviews they obtained)?

Yes

No

b. Which, if any, of these rules governed how an interviewer approached an address/household?

PLEASE TICK THOSE THAT APPLY

Calls must be made at different times of day

Calls must be made on different days of week

Neither of the above

c. Were interviewers required to make a certain number of re-calls before they stopped approaching an address or household?

Minimum number of re-calls required - please write in number

No minimum re-call requirement

d. Were any interviews supervised?

Yes - please write in approximate proportion %

No

e. Were any interviews back-checked?

Yes - please write in approximate proportion %

No

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

29. Please write in the approximate start and end dates of fieldwork.

D D M M Y Y

Start date

End date

30. Please write in the name of the institute which has done the fieldwork.

CentERdata (www.centerdata.nl)_____

Section 4: Data

31. Were any measures of coding reliability employed?

Yes

ANSWER Q31a

No

31a. Which one? Please specify

32. Were the data from the questionnaire keyed subsequent to the interview (that is, non-CAPI surveys)?

Not applicable

Yes

ANSWER Q33

No

GO TO Q34

IF DATA KEYED

33. Was keying verified?

Yes - please write in approximate level of verification

%

No

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

34. Were any reliability checks made on derived variables?

Yes

No

35. Were data checked/edited to ensure that filter instructions were followed correctly?

Yes

No

36. Were data checked/edited for logic or consistency?

Yes

No

37. Were data checked/edited to ensure they fell within permitted coding ranges?

Yes

No

38. Have you answered 'yes' on any or all of questions 32 to 34 above?

*plausibility checks and range limits in the programmed questionnaire

Yes Yes

ANSWER Q39

No

GO TO Q40

Comment [8]: We received a Dutch version from the WVS team members, thus it seems that the person(s) responsible for the Dutch version should complete this part of the questionnaire.

When checking the data it was clear that there were many respondents who answered "other namely..." who actually meant "Caucasian white". This answer category was not clear to everyone.

See comment 2: the open answers regarding "Caucasian white" were recoded into the correct answer category.

See comment 1.

I filled out the items I am aware of, but there may be other items?

Again, not to my knowledge, but this should be verified by the WVS team responsible for the Dutch translation.

See comment 1.

This category is added, because I think that the question does not apply to CAWI interviewing.

IF DATA CHECKED/EDITED	
39. Were errors corrected individually or automatically (through, for example, a 'forced' edit)?	
e.g., the answer "caucasian white" and "Fries"	Yes - individual correction
e.g., answer categories recoded	Yes - automatic correction
	No - not corrected

IF DATA CHECKED/EDITED	
39. Were errors corrected individually or automatically (through, for example, a 'forced' edit)?	
e.g., the answer "caucasian white" and "Fries"	Yes - individual correction
e.g., answer categories recoded	Yes - automatic correction
	No - not corrected

IF DATA CHECKED/EDITED	
39. Were errors corrected individually or automatically (through, for example, a 'forced' edit)?	
e.g., the answer "caucasian white" and "Fries"	Yes - individual correction
e.g., answer categories recoded	Yes - automatic correction
	No - not corrected

IF DATA CHECKED/EDITED	
39. Were errors corrected individually or automatically (through, for example, a 'forced' edit)?	
e.g., the answer "caucasian white" and "Fries"	Yes - individual correction
e.g., answer categories recoded	Yes - automatic correction
	No - not corrected

IF DATA CHECKED/EDITED	
39. Were errors corrected individually or automatically (through, for example, a 'forced' edit)?	
e.g., the answer "caucasian white" and "Fries"	Yes - individual correction
e.g., answer categories recoded	Yes - automatic correction
	No - not corrected

IF DATA CHECKED/EDITED	
39. Were errors corrected individually or automatically (through, for example, a 'forced' edit)?	
e.g., the answer "caucasian white" and "Fries"	Yes - individual correction
e.g., answer categories recoded	Yes - automatic correction
	No - not corrected

IF DATA CHECKED/EDITED	
39. Were errors corrected individually or automatically (through, for example, a 'forced' edit)?	
e.g., the answer "caucasian white" and "Fries"	Yes - individual correction
e.g., answer categories recoded	Yes - automatic correction
	No - not corrected

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

40. Did you add a weight variable?

Yes **ANSWER Q.41**

No **SECTION 5**

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

40. Did you add a weight variable?

Yes **ANSWER Q.41**

No **SECTION 5**

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

40. Did you add a weight variable?

Yes **ANSWER Q.41**

No **SECTION 5**

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

40. Did you add a weight variable?

Yes **ANSWER Q.41**

No **SECTION 5**

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

40. Did you add a weight variable?

Yes **ANSWER Q.41**

No **SECTION 5**

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

40. Did you add a weight variable?

Yes **ANSWER Q.41**

No **SECTION 5**

IF WEIGHT VARIABLE ADDED	
41.	Please describe the weighting or post-stratification strategy used.

IF WEIGHT VARIABLE ADDED	
41.	Please describe the weighting or post-stratification strategy used.

Section 5: Characteristics of National Population

45. Each WVS member is asked to provide information on known characteristics of its national population, from census or the best available estimates from government surveys or other high-quality data-sources:

- 1* sex distribution of the population
- 2* age distribution of the population
- 3* education (years of schooling) of the population
- 4* other characteristics (PLEASE SPECIFY)

Please specify also the sources which have been used. Please note that the number of characteristics is what is minimal required. You can add as many characteristics as you like, but do not forget to specify them.

In the table below please present the information from census or from other government surveys or other high-quality data (column SOURCE 1) and the proportions obtained in your data, before and after weighting

	Source: Statistic Netherlands	Unweighted data	Weighted data
Gender age 18 and older			
Female	50.9 %	53.5 %	
Male	49.1 %	46.5 %	
Age Groups			
15 - 24 years old	11.0 %	5.8 %	
25 - 34 years old	15.3 %	9.5 %	
35 - 44 years old	17.8 %	15.8 %	
45 - 54 years old	19.0 %	19.1 %	
55 - 64 years old	16.4 %	21.1 %	
65 years and older	20.5 %	28.7 %	
Years/Schooling Groups 15 – 65 years			
1 primary education (basisonderwijs)	8.3 %	8.4 %	
2 lower secondary education (vmbo)	23.0 %	25.1 %	
3 higher secondary education (havo/vwo)	9.9 %	10.1 %	
4 intermediate vocational training (mbo)	30.7 %	22.8 %	
5 higher vocational training (hbo)	18.2 %	23.6 %	
6 university (wo)	9.9 %	10.1 %	
Other characteristics Please specify			
Autochtone	79.4 %	79.0 %	
First generation, western	4.0 %	5.7 %	
First generation, non-western	6.4 %	5.3 %	
Second generation, western	5.2 %	8.3 %	
Second generation, non-western	5.0 %	1.7 %	

THANK YOU VERY MUCH !

PLEASE RETURN THE QUESTIONNAIRE TO JAIME DIEZ MEDRANO jdiezmed@jdsurvey.net

We received a Dutch version from the WVS team members, thus it seems that the person(s) responsible for the Dutch version should complete this part of the questionnaire.

When checking the data it was clear that there were many respondents who answered "other namely..." who actually meant "Caucasian white". This answer category was not clear to everyone.

See comment 2: the open answers regarding "Caucasian white" were recoded into the correct answer category.

See comment 1.

I filled out the items I am aware of, but there may be other items?

Again, not to my knowledge, but this should be verified by the WVS team responsible for the Dutch translation.

See comment 1.

This category is added, because I think that the question does not apply to CAWI interviewing.

We received a Dutch version from the WVS team members, thus it seems that the person(s) responsible for the Dutch version should complete this part of the questionnaire.

When checking the data it was clear that there were many respondents who answered "other namely..." who actually meant "Caucasian white". This answer category was not clear to everyone.

See comment 2: the open answers regarding "Caucasian white" were recoded into the correct answer category.

See comment 1.

I filled out the items I am aware of, but there may be other items?

Again, not to my knowledge, but this should be verified by the WVS team responsible for the Dutch translation.

See comment 1.

This category is added, because I think that the question does not apply to CAWI interviewing.

We received a Dutch version from the WVS team members, thus it seems that the person(s) responsible for the Dutch version should complete this part of the questionnaire.

When checking the data it was clear that there were many respondents who answered "other namely..." who actually meant "Caucasian white". This answer category was not clear to everyone.

See comment 2: the open answers regarding "Caucasian white" were recoded into the correct answer category.

See comment 1.

I filled out the items I am aware of, but there may be other items?

Again, not to my knowledge, but this should be verified by the WVS team responsible for the Dutch translation.

See comment 1.

This category is added, because I think that the question does not apply to CAWI interviewing.

We received a Dutch version from the WVS team members, thus it seems that the person(s) responsible for the Dutch version should complete this part of the questionnaire.

When checking the data it was clear that there were many respondents who answered "other namely..." who actually meant "Caucasian white". This answer category was not clear to everyone.

See comment 2: the open answers regarding "Caucasian white" were recoded into the correct answer category.

See comment 1.

I filled out the items I am aware of, but there may be other items?

Again, not to my knowledge, but this should be verified by the WVS team responsible for the Dutch translation.

See comment 1.

This category is added, because I think that the question does not apply to CAWI interviewing.